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Abstract

This project is a software re-engineering project. What we have is a functional system named HC-Sim that suffers from lack of user interaction and data presentation capabilities. Using software engineering techniques such as system analysis and design, a detailed and comprehensible model for an improved system is created and then implemented. The new system makes use of object-oriented techniques, as opposed to the original system, which is purely function-based. Re-engineering of the existing system is not straightforward, due to the fact that the existing system should be modified as little as possible. We must therefore take a restrained approach, aiming at expanding the system rather than rebuilding it.

In many projects of this type, the design of the original system is used as a basis for the design of the new system, but in this project we do things a bit differently. During the analysis and design process of this re-engineering project, we also study the design and the implementation of the original system and try to figure out how it might be improved in the light of object-oriented thinking. At the end of the project, with the aid of this knowledge, a more detailed study and a proposition for how HC-Sim could be re-designed to better meet with future needs is produced.

Sammanfattning


Det är logiskt att man i projekt av den här sorten bör utgå från det ursprungliga systemets design när det nya systemet skapas, men i detta projekt nyttjas ett lite annorlunda tillvägagångssätt. Under det att det nya systemet skapas studerar vi hur det befintliga systemet har designats och implementeras, och betänker hur detta skulle kunna göras annorlunda och bättre utifrån en objektorienterad synvinkel. I slutet av projektet används denna kunskap till att utföra en mer detaljerad studie av hur en eventuell omskrivning av HC-Sim skulle kunna göras, så att programmet bättre ska kunna leva upp till nya krav i framtiden.
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Background

This introduction is required in order to understand the nature of this project. First, a list of acronyms is provided, which helps the reader to keep track of some of the more common abbreviations used throughout the entire document. This section then teaches the fundamentals of IP header compression, introduces the company that initiated this project, along with some of their products, and then finally explains the project itself and its purpose.

List of acronyms used

2.5G/3G Third generation of mobile communication networks
CRTP Compressed Real-time Transport Protocol
dc Header Decompressor/Decompression
HC-Sim Header Compression Simulator
hc Header Compressor/Compression
IETF Internet Engineering Task Force
IP Internet Protocol
IPHC Internet Protocol Header Compression
RFC Request For Comments
ROHC Robust Header Compression
RTP Real-time Transport Protocol
TCP Transmission control protocol
UDP User Datagram Protocol

Project initiator

The company that initiated the project is called Effnet AB1. Their main area of business is IP header compression product development. The company is a part of Effnet Holding AB, which also includes Factum Electronics AB.

Effnet products

Based on different IETF standards (RFCs) for IP header compression, Effnet AB has developed three header compression libraries: IPHC[7], CRTP[8] and ROHC[9], each with different traits and suitable areas of application (see Table 1). These three implementations are marketed as separate products to customers who wish to improve their network link efficiency, particularly in the areas of mobile applications and telephony. Customers are mainly manufacturers of 2.5G and 3G handset and infrastructure equipment.

Table 1. Effnet header compression products and their areas of application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>IETF</th>
<th>Suitable areas of application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPHC</td>
<td>RFC 2507</td>
<td>Low and medium speed links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRTP</td>
<td>RFC 2508</td>
<td>Real-time applications with audio/video over low and medium speed links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>RFC 3095</td>
<td>IP over cellular radio links.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Effnet stands for efficient networking
IP Header compression

The purpose of IP header compression is to improve link efficiency, increase speed and provide reliability in IP based networks. IP header compression is part of the network stack in the conceptual model of the TCP/IP layers [2][6], the relationship to which is shown in Figure 1. Loosely described, the basic idea is as follows:

Most IP datagrams contain much header information that never or rarely changes. By sending datagrams in a specific type of frame, these data can be established as a context at the beginning of a communication session. In the course of packet transmission between two links, IP header data need only be transmitted when that context is changed, thus reducing the number of bytes transmitted over the link.

Each IP datagram that is passed to and from the Internet layer to the network layer will go through the header compressor, which creates a specific compressed frame header instead of the original IP header. The compressed datagram is then placed in a network frame and transmitted. When the frame arrives at the network layer at the receiving side, the header compression specific frame is extracted from the network frame at the decompressor, converted back to a full IP datagram and then passed on to the Internet layer.

Figure 1. Relationship between IP header compression and the conceptual layers of TCP/IP.

HC-Sim - the mandatory system

Introduction

The system upon which this project is based is called HC-Sim. It is a multi-purpose simulation environment for the three header compression products marketed by Effnet. It is used by Effnet Research & Development for internal and external interoperability testing, Effnet Marketing & Sales for demonstration and by Effnet's customers for verification of the integrated Effnet header compression product.

Modes of operation

HC-Sim can operate in several simulation modes, either in a network environment or as standalone without a network. When using a network, two instances of HC-Sim are used. The data may either be encapsulated in IP/UDP packets or placed directly in Ethernet frames, and then sent between the compressor and the decompressor (see Figure 2). As both simulator instances contain each of these, one simulator runs either mainly as a compressor, referred to as Charles mode, and the other mainly as a decompressor, which is called Diana mode. The stream of data can either be generated from a test file or supplied by an external source. If using the latter, the packets are in general also forwarded to an external destination.

2 The HC-Sim user manual also calls these modes compressor- and decompressor-mostly part.
If not using a network, only one instance of HC-Sim, acting both as Charles and Diana, is used. A test file defines the data flow and traffic conditions, or a simulation can be re-run, referred to as *playback*, from a time-stamped log file produced by a previous simulation. Each compressor-decompressor pair exchanges information via a *channel*, connecting Charles and Diana. For details regarding HC-Sim operation, see the Effnet HC-Sim user manual [10].

![Modular architecture of HC-Sim](image)

**Figure 2.** The modular architecture of HC-Sim.

### Technical details

In order to enable testing of the header compression products in different environments, HC-Sim is a multi-platform program. Just like the header compression libraries, it is implemented in ANSI-C.

The header compression product to test does not need to be a part of the network layer, as the HC-Sim executable is linked with the header compression library, this way enabling header compression to take place in the application layer. For each header compression library there is a corresponding set of glue code files to fill the gap between the HC-Sim base code and the library used for header compression (see Figure 3).

For full details, see the Effnet HC-Sim Architecture overview description [11].

![Header compression integration with HC-Sim](image)

**Figure 3.** How a header compression library is integrated with HC-Sim.

### Purpose of the project

Effnet AB have offered me the task of designing and implementing a graphical user interface for HC-Sim. The new program is to be used as a complement to the original system, but be more adapted for live presentations and customer demonstrations of Effnet IP header compression products.

During the course of this project, HC-Sim is being developed alongside with the new program. Effnet have no intention of abandoning the original system, and it is therefore of great importance that changes to the existing program that are made for the sake of this project do not interfere with that development process. The term *restrained re-engineering* used in the title of this document refers to this fact, which will be quite obvious as the development of the new system progresses.

For more details about the project, please see the Project specification [Appendix A].
An overview of the project

The process

The steps involved in the design process are more or less done by the book – the book being *Object-oriented software engineering* [1]. Since that book mostly focuses on pure object-oriented development, however, some of the tasks described therein in are either performed a bit differently or skipped altogether. By working by the book it is our aim to cover most of the relevant tasks involved in designing the system. As we are also well aware of the differences between this project and your average school-book design challenge, it will also be necessary to come up with our own solutions and ways of modeling in order to lay the focus where it is best needed for this project.

Requirements elicitation

Defining the basic requirements of the system from a user’s point of view is the very foundation of the project. By creating scenarios and producing use cases we wish to get a clear view of who will be using the system, how, and for what purpose.

Analysis

The results of the requirements elicitation are evaluated and weighed against the mandatory system. The analysis will be used as a guide in the system design section, and will also be of great value when analyzing re-engineering issues at the end of the project (see Plan for re-engineering).

System design

This is where the basic elements of the system are created. The design does not cover the whole system, but rather pieces of a puzzle that is put together in the implementation process. This step also leans a bit into the implementation part, as some interfaces and rules of integration are defined here.

Implementation

In this phase we will motivate and explain the implementation choices that are actually used to create HC-Sim GUI. The implementation does not aim at producing a final product, but rather at creating a functional demo, which can be used as a basis for further development by Effnet AB.

Review

After implementing the system, we analyze any occurring problems with the design and implementation, and speculate on what could have been done differently or better. Suggestions for future additions and improvements are also made here.

Plan for re-engineering

At the end of the project, after which a functional demo has been produced and documented, we are free to speculate on how the existing system could be re-designed more thoroughly. We expand our analysis in order to examine how the design and implementation of HC-Sim could be made more flexible, powerful and developer-friendly. This section is meant to be used as an inspiration to Effnet for future consideration and implementation. I see it as a side-product of the project, one that represents what I would have done if I had been given the time and the opportunity.
General guidelines

Choice of technique

The complete process of software design from idea to product is not covered by this project. We will instead only focus on the most important concepts, the highlights, of the design process. In general, most techniques for these activities are labeled as either being object-oriented or not. Figuring out how can we make these techniques apply to this project is a bit of a challenge, since we already have a mandatory system which is implemented in a function based language while we wish to implement the new part of the system in the light of object-oriented thinking.

Use of the names HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI

We will often make a distinction between HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI in the design process, the referred meanings of which require a clarification. When talking about HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI we mean that HC-Sim GUI is the newly designed part that is integrated with HC-Sim to form a complete program. HC-Sim GUI is also the name of the entire project, that is HC-Sim extended with a graphical user interface. To clarify this difference when the context does not, we will refer to the HC-Sim side and the HC-Sim GUI side (or simply GUI side) when we are talking about the two as separate parts of the entire program.

Code excerpts and examples

General conventions

All code examples used in this document are either written in C/C++ or in pseudo-code similar to these languages. The code is highlighted with a monospace font face. Parts of the code that are omitted in the examples are represented by three dashes (---).

code example

```c
void startSimulation(int argc, char **argv) {
    // verify parameters
    sim_init();
    sim_run();
}
```

Types used

C/C++ types

Standardized types such as `void`, `char`, `int` and `bool` are used in this document.

HC-Sim specific types

Effnet uses the same definitions of types in all their header compression products and in HC-Sim, and these types will be used in HC-Sim GUI as well, in particular in code that is closely related to code of the original system. The types used in this document are:

```c
i32_t 32 bit signed integer
u32_t 32 bit unsigned integer
i8_t  8 bit signed integer
u8_t  8 bit unsigned integer
```

\(^3\) The project specification provided by Effnet AB makes no recommendation on what technique to use. An object-oriented solution is my choice, wherefore we could be interpreted as me, the author, and maybe also the reader, should he be inclined to agree with my point of view.
Other specific types
FOX [15], the graphical library used for the GUI implementation (see Choice of graphics library, page 45), has many defined types and classes, all of which are designed to work the same on different platforms. Each of these has a type name that begins with the letters FX, for instance FXint and FXString.

Regarding the use of UML
Throughout this document we will use several UML [13] diagrams to explain designs, events and relationships between components. While only standard diagrams such as class diagrams and state chart diagrams are used, some of them have minor modifications and additions in order to make them more suitable for our purposes.

Class diagrams
The class boxes of UML class diagrams have been given the ability to represent dummy classes, by which we mean a representation of some class (or a set of classes) which will not be explained in detail due to lack of relevance or to risk of only adding unnecessary complexity to a diagram. Class diagrams are sometimes also used to explain simple chains of events, by simply using dashed arrows and text to explain the course of action directly in a class diagram. UML class constraints and operators such as for instance <<instanceof>> and <<abstract>> are also employed at will. Some examples of the use of class diagram boxes are provided in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Examples of the use of UML class diagrams.

Line notations
It is not uncommon when viewing class and object diagrams that one experiences the picture as impossible to interpret due to a great number of lines and arrows crossing each other. To make sketches less compact and tangled, line intersections and situations where several individual lines would normally be used are resolved by replacing several lines with one, using forks and highlighting of the points of connection (Figure 5). This idea is borrowed from the field of electricity, where it is often used to handle crossed wires in circuit diagrams.

Figure 5. Intersecting lines are resolved by using connection points.
Requirements elicitation

This section states the intended purpose of HC-Sim GUI – the new version of the header compression simulator known as HC-Sim. Besides defining the functional, non-functional and pseudo requirements of the new system, we also define the actors of the system and explain how the program is intended to be used under different circumstances, by whom, and for what purpose.

Requirements

Non-functional requirements

HC-Sim GUI is an IP header compression simulation environment, which covers the same area of application as HC-Sim. The graphical data presentation of the program also makes it suitable for demonstrations of the products for potential customers. The technical details of the simulator and the complex nature of header compression, however, suggest that simulation setup still is made by a professional, as most of both the input and output data require an explanation in order to be interpreted by a layman.

Functional requirements

HC-Sim GUI extends the IP-header compression simulator HC-Sim with a graphical user interface, allowing any number of simulations to be run sequentially in a program session. The events and output of a simulation is presented to the user through graphical indicators such as progress bars and graphs, or numerically when required. The type and amount of data displayed to the user during the simulation can be controlled through settings, enabling the user to view details of a specific type of simulation data, or to see an overview of simulation events. The displays are updated continuously during the simulation.

The controls of the user interface are sparse, compact and easy to use for a user with basic experience of graphical user interfaces, as only standard components and widgets such as check buttons, radio buttons and menus are used. The graphical user interface makes it easier to set up a simulation than with the original command-based user interface, mostly because invalid configurations are suppressed by the graphical interface. The program hints the user on how to input valid data, and also supports the ability to save configurations between program sessions.

Pseudo requirements

The program should be easily further expandable by Effnet, as well as easy to setup, compile and build. This requires the system to be well documented with an inclination to facilitate further development, refactoring and redesign. The program itself and the graphical library used must be portable to all platforms supported by HC-Sim, and in addition be so low demanding in resources that they do not pose a severe impediment to the execution of a simulation. The GUI should also not be too tightly integrated with HC-Sim, as the original version must be runnable on platforms and environments in which graphical components cannot be used due to limited resources.
Actors

External view

In a general sense, a user or operator makes a simulation setup to test a header compression product, optionally with a network acting as data generator and receiver (Figure 6). The spectators may for instance be potential customers during a live demonstration, or developers evaluating the simulation.

![Diagram: Actors operating upon the system from an external point of view.]

Figure 6. Actors operating upon the system from an external point of view.

Internal view

From the viewpoint of the program itself (Figure 7), the controller of a simulation is a scenario set up by the user. The scenario consists of an optional simulator input file (simply called a test file) and configurations made through the user interface. The simulator may read data from and write data to log files, receive and send data over the network and present simulation events and other types of information on the computer screen, using text and graphics.

![Diagram: Actors operating upon the system from an internal point of view.]

Figure 7. Actors operating upon the system from an internal point of view.
**Scenarios**

To exemplify how we intend for the system to be used in various situations, we identify some probable scenarios. These scenarios aim at helping us to better understand the application domain and to see how the different actors relate to the system, in particular in terms of the actions each actor performs and the information exchanged between the actor and the system.

**Scenario name:** computerConvention

**Participating actors:**
- roger, sean: Operator
- george, pierce: Spectator
- windowsComputer, linuxComputer, laptopBridge: Network

**Flow of events:**

1. Roger and Sean have just arrived at the 3G computer convention in Cannes. Roger sets up a Windows 2000 and a Red Hat 9 computer serially connected via a laptop acting as a network bridge, while Sean places a large monitor connected to the Red Hat machine on a pedestal, enabling spectators to view the simulation.

2. Roger sets up HC-Sim GUI on the two computers, configured for a live demonstration of ROHC efficiency in a network with non-trivial packet loss. The Windows machine is set as compressor side and the Red Hat machine as the decompressor side. Sean starts up a packet sniffing program on the laptop, which shows the traffic through the network bridge.

3. George, a sales representative for IBM mobile communications, comes by and wonders what this is. Sean gives him a crash course in header compression technology while Roger starts the simulation, but nothing happens. Roger sees that the sender side is configured to receive packets from an external source, which is wrong since there is none. He stops the simulation, re-configures HC-Sim GUI on the sender side and re-runs the simulation. George looks at the screen and sees a compression ratio graph being drawn. Pierce, who is an experienced network technician, comes by and asks what happens if the traffic conditions become poor. Roger makes a slight adjustment in the simulation test file on the Windows computer and restarts the simulation.

4. Pierce looks at the laptop and sees that the number of packets dropped increases. Pierce then looks at the large monitor and sees that the compression ratio decreases slightly, but that the packet rate remains stable. George cannot see what just happened, so Sean pauses the simulation, zooms in the packet graph in HC-Sim GUI at the decompressor side and points out where the packet loss occurred and where ROHC recovered from the loss of information.

---

4 Throughout the HC-Sim GUI project, the term compression *ratio* was incorrectly referred to as compression *rate*. As the latter term was used in the source code, developers of HC-Sim GUI are encouraged to update the code in favor of the word *ratio*. 

Scenario name: CRTPtesting

Participating actors:

luke: User, Spectator
BSDWorkStation: Network

Flow of events:

1. Luke has been appointed the task of comparing the compression ratio and packet transmission count when running a RTP flow through the simulator as IPv4 and IPv6 packets. A co-developer has pointed out that the decompressor side behaves very differently for IPv6 traffic than for IPv4 traffic sometimes, but he has not been able to figure out why. Luke uses a single computer with HC-Sim GUI to examine this.

2. Luke configures HC-Sim GUI to run on in stand-alone mode on his BSD workstation, using a simulator test file in which he intends to switch from IPv4 to IPv6 generated traffic, but both having the same RTP flow.

3. After running the test file with the same RTP flow once for IPv4 and then once for IPv6, Luke edits the RTP flow slightly and re-runs the simulation with IPv6 traffic.

4. When running the simulation, the decompressor indicates a considerable decrease in the number of header requests sent, but the compression ratio and packet transmission still follow the same curves as the last simulation. This does not make sense, so Luke examines the code in HC-Sim and finds that the statistics for header requests is not always increased correctly in the CRTP implementation for a specific type of IPv6 packet configuration. Apparently this is what is causing the illusion of differences in behavior between the traffic types for some flows. Luke fixes this minor bug and proudly calls the co-developer to notify him.

These two scenarios were chosen because they relate to the two main areas of application: internal testing and development (the CRTPtesting scenario) and presentation to customers and other developers (the computerConvention scenario).

Use cases

To get a more general view of how the system is used we supply use cases related to the scenarios. As scenarios are instances of use cases we can hereby define a complete flow of events for any scenario that may be instantiated from the use cases. Because the flows of events of the scenarios are so similar, we can use a single general use case (headerCompressionSimulation) at the highest level to cover all scenarios (such as computerConvention and CRTPtesting). This use case in turn includes smaller use cases that represent the basic functionality of the system (see Figure 8).
Use case name: headerCompressionSimulation

Participating actors: Initiated by Operator who in turn communicates with Spectator Operates over Network

Flow of events:

1. The Operator sets up Network of computers for the particular type of simulation to be performed. The number of computers is one (standalone mode), two (two-machine mode) or four (two-machine mode with external source and destination).

2. The Operator sets up the simulation (configureSimulation use case).

3. The Operator starts the simulation (controlSimulation use case). The Spectator observes the simulation progress, and asks the Operator to explain and show different aspects of the simulation data (configurePresentation use case). If the Spectator asks it, the Operator modifies the simulation scenario and runs the simulation once more (checkpoint 2 again).

4. The Spectator and Operator analyze the presented data (viewSimulationData use case).

Use case name: configureSimulation

Participating actors: Initiated by Operator Operates over Network

Flow of events:

1. If a test file is to be used, the Operator writes a test file for the simulation or chooses an existing test file. If running in playback mode, an existing log file is chosen.

2. The Operator sets up a simulation scenario for HC-Sim GUI with ROHC/CRTP/IPHC on all computers of the HC-Sim Network. The scenario includes the file, if any, produced in step 1, the addresses of the computers of the HC-Sim Network (in two-machine mode) and other configuration parameters.

Use case name: controlSimulation

Participating actors: Initiated by Operator

Flow of events:

1. The Operator presses the run button to start the simulation.

2. During the simulation the Operator may press the pause button to pause the simulation, or the stop button to abort the simulation prematurely.
Use case name: configurePresentation

Participating actors: Initiated by Operator

Flow of events:

1. The Operator chooses whether to focus on numerical data or use graphical mode for data presentation.

2. In graphical mode the Operator can choose a graph to focus on, zoom in or out of a graph, or change the focus between compressor and decompressor statistics.

Note that the viewSimulationData use case is just an abstraction of the Operator and the Spectator’s observing the simulation and together interpreting the data.

Figure 8. Header compression simulation use case diagram.
The analysis process

Strategy

The usual tasks of the analysis when producing a system involves analyzing use cases and creating basic objects from these. This part is not as relevant as it might seem for our purposes, because we already have a system which to a great extent covers the functionality specified by the use cases. And where it does not, we must make it. This approach is more **bottom-up** as opposed to the more conventional **top-down** thinking found in object oriented design, but it is not entirely suitable for our goals either. We want to adapt the original system to meet with our requirements, but still wish the new part of the system to be object-oriented and be the product of a higher level of design. To achieve this we are forced to make a compromise. On one hand we have the original system, which will be expanded and changed bottom-up, and on the other we have the new part of the system, which will be object-oriented and designed top-down (see Figure 9). The integration of these two parts now becomes a new design challenge.

![Figure 9. The design strategy of HC-Sim GUI.](image)

Tasks

Our first task in the analysis process is to expand the requirements produced in the requirements elicitation in order to produce a more concrete view of what is required of the system. Our remaining tasks then involve a study of the original system and of the new requirements in order to answer these questions:

- How does the current system meet with the requirements of the new system?
- To what extent does the original system support the introduction of the functionality defined in the requirements elicitation and what changes or additions need to be made in order to support it?
- What traits of the original system may become impediments to the new system?
- How does the original system relate to the functionality described in the use cases and scenarios, and what is needed to improve its usability?
- Are there any conflicts involved in the system requirements?

The analysis includes examining the code of HC-Sim in order to see how the program is constructed and to try to find a general coding strategy and mentality. Being aware of how problems have been solved in the past is important in order to evaluate the original system and to make the integration between the new additions and the original system smoother. The code analysis will also be of great value when we suggest how the system could be re-engineered more thoroughly at the end of the project.
Usability

Program usability

A note on human-computer interaction

The area of human-computer interaction is not the focus of this project. Never the less, it is the general idea and anticipation of this project that the program will be used, and used frequently. Taking some time to make the user’s life easier when handling the HC-Sim GUI program is highly likely to increase its lifecycle. The capability of a program in terms of usability introduced by a graphical environment was of course one of the reasons a request to make a graphical user interface was made in the first place. A detailed case study of the level of usability of the program will not be made, however. These issues will be dealt with in the design and implementation process, striving towards achieving a reasonable level of usability and focusing on the most relevant parts.

Interaction

The user of the program should experience that he has control of the program, without feeling that he must go through a considerable effort to achieve his goals. Each control device should be clear in its purpose, easily accessible and consistent with other controls throughout the whole user interface. Because much of the control of the simulation is placed in the test files used for simulations, the number of controls and settings is decreased dramatically. Only basic program controls and simulation configuration mechanisms should need to be supplied by the graphical user interface.

Feedback

The whole purpose of a simulation is to run a test and to view results. The user should not be distracted by hundreds of blinking lights and have text and dazzling graphics flashed before his eyes during the simulation process. Still, all relevant data must be able to be presented and interpreted during and after the simulation at a rate that the user can manage. Most times it can be assumed that the user is merely interested in one or a few particular types of data, and therefore some means of controlling what types of data are presented and how should be provided.

Software usability

The first thing that any software developer should consider when embarking on an extension project is the fact that many software projects that at first seem promising and evolutionary end up going straight from the cradle to the grave. A great concern for the software developer should therefore be whether or not the software will actually be accepted as something worth using - not only by the user, but by other developers as well.

In the case of the HC-Sim GUI project, the users and the developers are mostly the same people. Simply trying to impress software developers with visual effects and lots of features will probably not do the trick, since they are more likely to ask how that affects program performance or how many lines of source code was required. The developer wants more than just stunning features, since he has to be able and willing to continue working with the project without great effort. Here follows a few key ingredients to this recipe:

For the remainder of this report, "he" and "him" should be read as "he or she" and "him or her".
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• The environment and tools required should be exchangeable, as well as easy to set up and to use.
• All 3rd party libraries and integrated software used should not be inhibiting to the any requirements of the project, well documented and easily accessible to developers, preferably publicly available or open source.
• The goals and purpose of the project must be well defined.
• The project must not add any status quo restrictions to the development process of the extension project or of the base project.
• The documentation of the project and source code should be adequate for other developers to be able to follow it. Detailed explanations, where required, are encouraged.

Imposed requirements

Requirements of HC-Sim GUI imposed by HC-Sim

Portability
For the HC-Sim GUI project, portability is an important issue. Since the original HC-Sim was designed to be used on different versions of Windows, Unix and Linux, so must HC-Sim GUI. This imposes an interesting restriction on the choice of programming language and graphics library. Should this issue be the most important one, a platform independent language such as Java [20] would make a reasonable choice. To be more compatible with HC-Sim, however, ANSI-C or C++ should be used.

GUI Performance
The introduction of a graphical environment must not slow down simulations notably. Particularly when running network traffic through the simulator, a graphics library requiring much computer resources would most likely either not be able to keep up with the simulation or make it slower. The overall performance of this graphical version of HC-Sim must be treated as a priority issue during both the design phase and the implementation phase.

Integration
It seems inevitable to make some changes and additions to the original HC-Sim code for the sake of this project. However, in order to allow HC-Sim to be developed independently of HC-Sim GUI, additions and changes to the HC-Sim code should be kept at a minimum. Furthermore, it must be possible to compile the original version of HC-Sim without any graphics when testing and running on machines with little resources. This suggests that all code that is added to HC-Sim for the sake of this integration should be detachable in some way. The original version of HC-Sim should still be able to be compiled, completely independent and unaffected by the addition of any graphical user interface.

Do not edit the products
The Effnet software products (IPHC, CRTP, ROHC) should not be affected by the integration of HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI 6. Also, the software products used by HC-Sim are designed to be compiled with ANSI-C, and making them for instance C++ compatible would require changes that may affect their performance and functionality.

---

6 This restriction was added late in the project. My original plan was to make the header compression libraries compile with a C++ compiler as well.
Requirements of HC-Sim imposed by HC-Sim GUI

Language compatibility
The source code of HC-Sim must be kept C++ compatible. The main reasons are simplified integration of HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI, and the ability to throw exceptions upon error (see Choice of programming language, page 45). Note that this restriction also works the other way around, since HC-Sim should still be ANSI-C compatible.

Integration code
HC-Sim must include (detachable) code to interact with the GUI in order to store precise simulation data, and to allow user interaction through the user interface to affect the simulation.

Cleanup and reset
The original HC-Sim philosophy was run once, cleanup is done automatically when the program exits. This may be true, to some extent, when running the program once per simulation. Through the GUI, however, an arbitrary number of simulations are run for each program session. After each simulation, all files and handles must be closed, and memory on the heap must be freed. Before running the next simulation, all stateful data must be reset to initial values.

Merging the requirements
It comes as no great surprise that many of the requirements mentioned are in direct conflict with one another. Whereas for some of them the requirements imposed by HC-Sim and those imposed by HC-Sim GUI may be compromised, some of them may not. Creating a spin-off version of HC-Sim for the sake of integrating it with a GUI is one way to handle these conflicts. Another solution is to make the program compile one way when a GUI is present and another way when it is not. In the light of the requirement that as little code as possible should be added to HC-Sim anyway, the latter would seem feasible and also more reasonable than creating a whole new project.

Analyzing the feedback

Displaying statistics

Displaying statistics with printlines
In the command-line based version of HC-Sim, each packet whose IP-header has been compressed or decompressed results in a simple printout to the console. The print includes the source of the packet along with a short description of the IP-header structure, followed by an extract of the first bytes of data of the unit. For special events, such as when a packet is lost, damaged or cannot be decompressed, a warning message is also printed. A summary of the statistics and results of the simulation are not displayed until the simulation has been completed. The summary covers information about how long the simulation was run, how the compression turned out, and how many packets were restored or failed (see HC-Sim test-run excerpt below).

One obvious flaw of this way of presenting data is the flow of the data itself. Because packets are generally handled at a rate that by far exceeds a normal human being’s ability to read, the data will never be interpreted, and hence it has no informational value. And even if the user would manage to read the printout, for instance by slowing down the packet rate or printing the output to a file, he would not be able to get a clear view of what is going on. The reason is that the massive load of data does not yield a structured overview of the simulation status. The main problem is that the summary is not printed.
until at the end of the simulation, when what is really needed to understand the process is a continuous presentation of the data. This is difficult to achieve with printouts, as large quantities of continuous text output only makes the data flow harder for the user to grasp.

excerpt from an HC-Sim test-run showing a summary of simulation statistics)

0 packets delivered to Sink B, 0 errors.
IPHC stats (Charles):
  Full headers sent: 7  Compressed headers sent: 27
  Unmodified headers: 0  Unknown packets: 0
  Bytes before compression: 952  Bytes after compression: 304
  Header requests received: 0
  Full headers decompressed: 0  Comp headers decompressed: 0
  Packets dropped by decompressor: 0  Packets with bad TCP checksums: 0

24 packets delivered to Sink A, 0 errors.
IPHC stats (Diana):
  Full headers sent: 0  Compressed headers sent: 0
  Unmodified headers: 0  Unknown packets: 0
  Bytes before compression: 0  Bytes after compression: 0
  Header requests received: 0
  Full headers decompressed: 4  Comp headers decompressed: 20
  Packets dropped by decompressor: 5  Packets with bad TCP checksums: 0

Displaying statistics in the GUI

With a graphical version of HC-Sim, the problems with the presentation of data in the command-based version can be solved. By presenting compression ratio, data flow, error rates and packet flows with graphs, the user can actually get an overview of how the simulation turns out. It also helps the user to keep track of what is happening right now and what has happened before, providing a greater picture of the turnout. Easy comparison of data between different simulations also becomes possible, in particular if a way of saving the data between simulations were to be provided.

Data analysis

What information do we need to extract from HC-Sim in order to make an accurate presentation? It seems logical that because we are simulating header compression, the most crucial types of data are those directly related to the packet flow, the compressor and the decompressor. Looking at the scenarios and use cases we see different aspects of the simulation, and so we must consider what data may be classified as interesting from these viewpoints.

Interesting simulation events

The events we are interested in may be different depending on which compression scheme is being used, but for all schemes we should at least consider the following events:

- An uncompressed packet is received
- An IP header is compressed
- A compressed packet is sent
- A compressed packet is received
- An IP header is decompressed
- An uncompressed packet is sent
- A packet is dropped
Statistical data

Statistical data are available in data structures of HC-Sim, but these data are not directly related to either simulation events or time. It is simply a collection of accumulated values for each piece of statistic. For instance, is difficult to draw a graph from just knowing the total number of IP headers compressed or the total number of bytes before compression. To do that we would need to have detailed information about each separate event. The event of compressing a header should be associated with a time stamp, the type of the header compressed, the number of bytes before compression, the number of bytes after compression, or an error flag should the compression have failed. A code excerpt showing the declaration of the IPHC statistics struct follows here.

excerpt from source code file eff2507c.h

```c
/**
 * @brief The statistics of the compression is stored in this struct.
 */
typedef struct iphcStat {
  i32_t v6s_full_c;      /* Number of full headers produced by the compressor */
  i32_t v6s_comp_c;   /* Number of compressed headers produced by the compressor */
  i32_t v6s_unmod_c;  /* Number of unmodified headers produced by the compressor */
  i32_t v6s_bbefore_c;   /* Total number of bytes before compression */
  i32_t v6s_bafter_c;    /* Total number of bytes after compression */
  i32_t v6s_changes_c;   /* Not used */
  i32_t v6s_unknown_c;   /* Number of packets that were unknown or caused errors */
  i32_t v6s_full_d;      /* Number of full headers decompressed */
  i32_t v6s_comp_d;      /* Number of compressed headers decompressed */
  i32_t v6s_unmod_d;    /* not used */
  i32_t v6s_dropped_d;   /* Number of packets dropped by the decompressor */
  i32_t v6s_badsum_d;    /* Number of packets with bad checksum */
  i32_t v6s_head_req_revc;/* Number of header requests received */
} IphcStat_t;
```

The information available alone is not enough to make a detailed graphical presentation. HC-Sim GUI should provide a way of associating relevant statistics with separate events and/or, with simulation time so that a study of the simulation can be made on a per event basis rather than on a per simulation basis. This implies that either HC-Sim must report each simulation event to HC-Sim GUI, or store information about each event for later acquisition. Alternatively, HC-Sim GUI could check the statistics periodically and compare new statistics with old statistics to find out what has happened since the last time. These issues will be dealt with in the Information management section (page 30).

General simulation information

Being able to look at details of the simulation data is the most important feature to a user, as well as the reason for having a simulator in the first place. But for the simulation to make sense to the operator and the spectator, the program must provide information about the simulation itself. HC-Sim GUI should provide information about the simulator’s current state and progression, time since the simulation started and the time at which the simulation will finish. HC-Sim keeps track of this information, but does not have the means to display this information continuously, since the only means of presenting it is through printouts. Trying to print all of this information to the screen will only make data flash before the eyes of the user without providing any usable information.
Program control

Controlling the simulation

HC-Sim provides no built-in way of controlling a simulation once the program has been started. Some basic shell commands can of course be used to achieve a small level of control, but these controls are not of any practical value when running a simulation with a GUI (see Table 2). The original version of HC-Sim has no need for advanced simulation controls, due to the fact that only one simulation is run per program session. As a program with a graphical user interface takes longer to start up, the program can not be expected to start and exit at each single simulation session, and hence at least basic controls (run and stop) must be provided to control the simulations.

Table 2. Simulation controls in HC-Sim with and without a GUI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>HC-Sim without GUI</th>
<th>HC-Sim with GUI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run simulation</td>
<td>Automatically when starting program</td>
<td>Press &quot;run&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pause simulation</td>
<td>Hit the &quot;Pause&quot; key on the keyboard</td>
<td>Press &quot;pause&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resume simulation</td>
<td>Hit the &quot;Return&quot; key on the keyboard</td>
<td>Press &quot;run&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop simulation</td>
<td>Kill the process</td>
<td>Press &quot;stop&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Configuration

Program parameters

Each HC-Sim simulation requires a simulation setup through a command line, which is passed in as program parameters. Valid configurations are explained in the HC-Sim user manual [10], and briefly in the help text that is displayed when the –help switch is passed as a program parameter. The program does not provide any other hints on how the user should input the parameters, nor does it have any means of placing restrictions on the contents of the parameters to avoid a misconfiguration. An incorrect configuration results in a program stack dump, and a short error message on what went wrong.

The graphical user interface should help the user to produce valid configurations, by making suggestions or restricting the input to valid configurations. If an error should occur due to a bad input, then the simulation will not run, but the program will continue execution as normal and display a helpful error message.

Compile-time configurations

Because HC-Sim compiles relatively fast, it can rely on values defined at compile time to produce HC-Sim executables with different configurations. These configurations include among others Ethernet support, network support, internal developer configurations and debugging facilities. Some of these configurations, those controlling program trace lines in particular, should be able to be made by a user at run-time instead of being defined by a developer at compile-time. This would enable them to be turned on or off at will, making the user able to focus on certain trace lines and not be bothered by others. Furthermore, HC-Sim GUI cannot be expected to compile and be linked as efficiently as HC-Sim, due to the fact that it has much more code and makes use of graphical libraries. Re-compiling the program just for the sake of controlling these trace lines would neither be optimal nor desirable.
System design

Meeting with the requirements

General guidelines

It is evident that this project requires that some changes be made to HC-Sim. However, these changes should not affect HC-Sim’s capacity for running as a command based program without a GUI, and they should be kept small and neat, not to crowd the original HC-Sim code with functionality that is not needed unless an graphical user interface is present. Less is more, as they say, and the more complicated code we add to HC-Sim, the less are the chances that the HC-Sim developers will embrace them.

Design goals

The program structure makes a clear distinction between what components are related to the HC-Sim side or the HC-Sim GUI side only, and what components are related to both of these. The graphical user interface provides the user with all the means necessary for configuring and controlling simulations, as well as enabling presentation of both simulation progress and simulation data graphically and/or numerically. Depending on what type of data is interesting to a user in a certain situation, the program should also enable him to focus on that data.

Developers of HC-Sim must be able to understand the program and follow in the footsteps of this project. For this reason it is important that we aim at creating a good foundation and program structure. Having several related small components forming a whole is better than having a large pile of code.
GUI as a front-end or as integrated software

Front-end
What signifies a front-end is that the user interface itself is one program, and that the user interface and the program to be controlled run as separate processes (see Figure 10 and Figure 11). This would of course limit the level of integration with the software to be controlled, as no data or functions are shared between the user interface implementation and the application to be controlled. Extracting information from the application would then require parsing data produced by the program from standard output, and the level of control of the application during execution would virtually be non-existent.

Integrated user interface
An alternative to using a front-end is to merge HC-Sim and the GUI into one big program, even though we try to keep a clear distinction between what belongs to the GUI side and what belongs to the HC-Sim side. To achieve this, global data should not be shared between the two parts, and all interaction should be made explicitly through function calls. There should also be a clear line between the two entities, which may be realized through interface files that declare the functionality available to or that should be implemented by the other part. Also, the physical connection in the code, the implementation of this functionality, should be clear and consistent with these interfaces. For ease of future changes, in which the functionality and structure of HC-Sim is likely to change, the data structures and functionality of HC-Sim used in HC-Sim GUI should be encapsulated in classes and objects, and never used directly.

Threads
In order for the graphics of HC-Sim GUI to function during a simulation, it will be necessary to have at least two threads: one for handling the graphical user interface and one for simulations (see Figure 12). If we were to use a single thread of execution, then the GUI would not work during a simulation. The interaction between and synchronization of these two threads now becomes an issue that must be addressed.

Which one to choose
What motivates the design of a front-end GUI is the low level of integration. The user interface can be created almost independently of the application. At the beginning of the project, this approach may help distinguishing the user interface from the application, which is one of the design goals. However, in order to achieve the level of user control and information management that we aim for, the user interface should be able to directly invoke functions of and acquire data from HC-Sim. Keeping the original program unchanged, and still being able to achieve the same result, is not possible. Logically, we will therefore start by designing the GUI as a front-end, but then move on to integrating it with the original program, in order to further increase the level of user interaction and the richness in and variety of the data.
Flow of execution events

HC-Sim with no GUI

Start

**HC-Sim process**

- `main(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Invoke `parse_params`
  - Invoke `init_hcsim`

`parse_params(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Parse HC-Sim commands and parameters

`init_hcsim()`
  - Prepare and start simulation

Figure 10. HC-Sim with no GUI runs in a single-threaded process.

HC-Sim with GUI as an independent front-end

Start

**HC-Sim process**

- `main(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Invoke `init_GUI`
  - Call `exec`: HC-Sim when user presses "run" button or menu item

**HC-Sim GUI process**

- `main(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Parse GUI specific parameters
  - Create and paint GUI

`init_GUI(int argc, char *argv[])`

Figure 11. The HC-Sim GUI process creates another process to execute HC-Sim.

HC-Sim with GUI as integrated software

Start

**HC-Sim GUI thread**

- `main(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Invoke `init_GUI`
  - Wait for user to press "run" button
  - Invoke `get_params`
  - Invoke HC-Sim: `init_hcsim()`

**HC-Sim thread**

- `init_hcsim()`
  - Initialize simulation
  - Start simulation

`init_GUI(int argc, char *argv[])`
  - Parse GUI specific parameters
  - Create and paint GUI

`get_params()`
  - Acquire HC-Sim parameters from user settings in GUI, check for errors

Figure 12. HC-Sim GUI thread and HC-Sim thread running in the same process.
Interaction between HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI

As the word interaction implies, we need to define the relationship between the HC-Sim side and the HC-Sim GUI side in terms of actions. Some aspects of this relationship are naturally related to the main responsibilities of the HC-Sim side and the HC-Sim GUI side.

**HC-Sim side:** run simulation, provide statistics  
**HC-Sim GUI side:** control simulation, present simulation data

With these basic responsibilities in mind we design interfaces corresponding to each side: a *control interface*, which is used by the HC-Sim GUI side to control the HC-Sim side, and a *callback interface*, used by HC-Sim to report back to and access functionality of HC-Sim GUI (see Figure 13).

![Figure 13. The relationship and interaction between the HC-Sim side and the HC-Sim GUI side.](image)

**HC-Sim control interface**

The HC-Sim control interface is an abstraction of the functions of HC-Sim invoked by HC-Sim GUI to control simulations. It consists of these header files:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File name</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hcsim_main.h</td>
<td>Configure and run HC-Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hcsim_trace.h</td>
<td>Control HC-Sim trace lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The functions used are few and simple: initialize simulation, start simulation, control the output. As there is no way of stopping a simulation in the original version, except by killing the process, we let this functionality be handled through callbacks instead.

**HC-Sim callback interface**

The control interface provides no means of communication between HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI during a simulation. In order to maintain control of the simulation and to acquire precise data, HC-Sim can make callbacks to HC-Sim GUI to report events and states. The callback functions are declared in the header file *hcsim_callback_interface.h* [Appendix B]. The functions are listed in Table 3.
Table 3. Function declarations available in the HC-Sim callback interface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function declaration</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>hcsim_callback_report_started</code></td>
<td>Reports back when a simulation has started.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>(hcsim_callback_time_t*)</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>hcsim_callback_report_finished</code></td>
<td>Reports back when a simulation has finished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>(hcsim_callback_time_t*)</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>hcsim_callback_event</code></td>
<td>Called when a simulation event has taken place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>(hcsim_callback_event_t,</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>hcsim_callback_poll_keep_running</code></td>
<td>Called to check whether the user has stopped the simulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>(hcsim_callback_poll_keep_running</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polling

During a simulation, HC-Sim handles a growing queue of small and separate events. Between each event, the simulator polls HC-Sim GUI to check if the simulation has been stopped prematurely. Using callback functions to poll the user interface for this information is not the most efficient way to go about it. There are other ways to handle this interaction, for instance by using signals and messages between threads. The advantage of using polls is the simplicity of design and implementation, but one should keep in mind that a considerable number of polls might be made during the course of a simulation.

Simulation event callbacks

In the analysis process we stated that the functionality for providing rich information about simulation events could be placed within HC-Sim or it could be placed externally. The latter provides more flexibility, and adds less code to HC-Sim, and so it seems like the best solution for our purposes.

By making HC-Sim report back to HC-Sim GUI each time an interesting event has occurred, HC-Sim GUI can acquire the information needed to make a correct graphical presentation and to show the current status and progress of HC-Sim. At the same time, we eliminate the need for HC-Sim to maintain event related statistical data that would add complexity and unnecessary quantities of code to the simulator. The callback events and flow of data will be explained further in the Information management section (page 30).
Thread interaction

Two threads must be used in the HC-Sim GUI application. One thread for controlling the graphical user interface and presenting data, referred to as the HC-Sim GUI thread, and one thread for running the simulation and gathering statistical data, referred to as the HC-Sim thread. During a simulation, the former must only use functionality available on the HC-Sim side, which consists of the HC-Sim source code and the HC-Sim callback interface. The latter will use only the functionality available on the HC-Sim GUI side, which is all HC-Sim GUI source code and the HC-Sim control interface.

There are several functions available to the HC-Sim side through the callback interface, all of which are implemented by the HC-Sim GUI side. To simplify matters it is wise to keep these function implementations in a single source file, which we here name hcsim_gui.cpp. The corresponding header file hcsim_gui.h will then contain declarations of the functionality available to the HC-Sim GUI side, and is not available to the HC-Sim side. This construction works as a bridge between the two sides, as is shown in Figure 14.

The most interesting interaction aspect is that of HC-Sim statistics acquisition and processing. As one thread gathers information, and the other handles it, it is clear that some sort of synchronization of the threads is needed for this process. This process must be examined thoroughly.

HC-Sim thread of execution

This thread runs in special thread function, which has access to the GUI objects required. The thread could be created when a simulation is to start, or it could be created once and re-used, in which case it must only run when signaled through some synchronization object. During a simulation, this thread will not access any graphical components to display data, but rather store information in some data structure of hcsim_gui.cpp and let the other thread worry about showing it. Adhering to the policy of polls described earlier, the thread also must check if the simulation has been interrupted between simulation events. The state chart diagram in Figure 15 demonstrates the general chain of events.

---

**Figure 14.** Implementation of a bridge between the two sides of HC-Sim GUI.

---

The most interesting interaction aspect is that of HC-Sim statistics acquisition and processing. As one thread gathers information, and the other handles it, it is clear that some sort of synchronization of the threads is needed for this process. This process must be examined thoroughly.

**HC-Sim thread of execution**

This thread runs in special thread function, which has access to the GUI objects required. The thread could be created when a simulation is to start, or it could be created once and re-used, in which case it must only run when signaled through some synchronization object. During a simulation, this thread will not access any graphical components to display data, but rather store information in some data structure of hcsim_gui.cpp and let the other thread worry about showing it. Adhering to the policy of polls described earlier, the thread also must check if the simulation has been interrupted between simulation events. The state chart diagram in Figure 15 demonstrates the general chain of events.
Running the simulation

Figure 16 contains a more detailed state chart for running the simulation, focusing on the callbacks. It shows how the callback interface may be used to report events and to poll the external user interface as to whether the simulation is to keep on running. The term event here refers to some representation of those events that would be relevant for a simulation from an external point of view, and not to each detailed event that may occur within the simulator.

Examples of relevant events:
- a header is compressed, a packet is dropped, a packet is sent.

Examples of non-relevant (internal) events:
- increase simulation time, a channel delay is inserted, check for incoming packets

We could also let the user decide which of these relevant events are actually relevant to him during a particular simulation by, for each event, having some sort of flag that may be controlled through the graphical user interface.
HC-Sim GUI thread of execution

This thread is not equal to the HC-Sim thread, in the sense that it depends on it. Because the HC-Sim GUI thread is responsible for handling all user interaction, such as starting and stopping simulations, it is the responsibility of this thread to control the other. The HC-Sim thread, on the other hand, has no interest in knowing what point of execution the GUI thread is currently at. The general states of the GUI thread are shown in the state chart diagram of Figure 17. We assume that the HC-Sim thread exists prior to entering the first state and terminates when leaving the last state.

We mentioned earlier that the GUI thread is responsible for displaying statistics graphically, but this thread can still not dedicate all of its time to handling and presenting statistics. All GUI related tasks such as repainting the user interface and handling user interaction through menus and buttons must also be performed regularly. The state in which this is done is referred to as "perform regular GUI tasks" and it must be entered between all time-consuming tasks or the graphical user interface will not work correctly or not be repainted often enough. One way to achieve this is to let time-consuming events such as parsing statistics be made periodically by using a timer, giving the graphics time to be painted before new ones arrive. Also, timeouts could be used when performing these tasks to make sure that the graphical user interface tasks are not neglected.

Figure 17. State chart diagram for the execution of the HC-Sim GUI thread.
Simulation controls for the HC-Sim GUI thread

In Figure 18 we see an overview of the controls that affect the simulation. By controls we here mean any object that is used directly or indirectly to control the threads.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 18.** Simulation controls related to the HC-Sim GUI thread.

Effects of changing the simulation status

The graphical user interface should provide controls to run, stop and pause the simulation – each of which corresponds to a status of the simulation (see Figure 19). Besides reflecting this status in the GUI, pressing one of these buttons should also result in some sort of communication with the HC-Sim thread in order for the action to take effect.

![State chart](image)

**Figure 19.** State chart diagram for the simulation status of HC-Sim GUI.

We imagine that we will use a general type of synchronization object (for instance a semaphore) for synchronizing the tasks that are performed by each thread when the simulation status is changed. When starting a simulation, the HC-Sim thread should begin its execution. It waits for a synchronization object to be signaled by the other thread before starting.

When the simulation is paused, a similar technique may be used. By making the HC-Sim thread wait for a pause-synchronization object to be signaled before continuing execution when the simulation is paused, the HC-Sim GUI thread gets to work full-time during the pause. The sequence diagram in Figure 20 shows the messages that are sent between the control objects, and the impact of these messages, when the simulation status is changed.
Passing parameters to HC-Sim

The command-line based version of HC-Sim takes as parameter to its main function an array of simple C-strings\(^7\), which contains the command that was entered in the console. The strings are parsed and checked for errors before the initialization of the simulator can be made. Considering the amount of code required for parsing parameters and making this verification in HC-Sim, the graphical version does not need to provide its own methods for doing this.

By making use of the existing parameter parser in HC-Sim, the GUI becomes less tightly integrated with the original system. The GUI needs only to conform to the command-line syntax of HC-Sim to produce syntactically correct simulation configuration parameters. A vector of strings is simply generated from the current GUI configuration when the user presses the "Run" button, and is then passed to HC-Sim, which handles the parsing. This strategy has more in common with the front-end design of Figure 11 than with the more integrated user interface design of Figure 12 (page 22), which is a direct result of our disinclination towards making the GUI tightly integrated with HC-Sim.

\(^7\) By C-strings we mean arrays of type \texttt{char}
Information management

Simulation statistics

HC-Sim data structures

Since each compression scheme used by HC-Sim is unique in many aspects, the statistics that are stored for each scheme are quite different. Some general traits are common for the IPHC, CRTP and ROHC (particularly between the first two), while others are only applicable to one of them. Table 4 and Table 5 give us an overview of the data that are available in the statistical data structures for each scheme. The particular types of statistical data do not represent what is important here. What matters are the differences regarding available information for the three compression schemes.

Table 4. Statistics available at the compressor for each compression scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compressor statistics trait</th>
<th>IPHC</th>
<th>CRTP</th>
<th>ROHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total bytes before compression</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bytes after compression</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of packets produced</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of compressed headers produced</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of full headers produced</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unmodified headers produced</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of packets failed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of header requests received</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ACKs received</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of negative ACKs received</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of static negative ACKs received</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of initializations/refreshes produced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non initializations/refreshes produced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Statistics available at the decompressor for each compression scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decompressor statistics trait</th>
<th>IPHC</th>
<th>CRTP</th>
<th>ROHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of packets received</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of compressed headers restored</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of full headers restored</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unmodified header restored</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of RTP packets restored</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of UDP packets restored</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of packets with bad checksum</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of packets failed to decompress</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of header requests sent</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ACKs sent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of negative ACKs sent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of static negative ACKs sent</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The way the statistics are organized also differs between the compression schemes. ROHC separates compressor statistics from decompressor statistics by placing them in separate data structures, while IPHC and CRTP do not. Another ROHC specific trait is to identify the statistics by using the channel id, which is a unique identifier for the connection between a compressor and a decompressor. IPHC and CRTP identify the statistical data by associating them with either the Charles side or the Diana side. This difference is quite subtle, as the identifiers convey the same information.

Encapsulating the differences

In order to make HC-Sim GUI less influenced by the structural differences of the statistical data structures, we design a class named HCSimStatistics to wrap the data and provide us with a common interface (Figure 21) to the statistics.

```
ROHC
comp_stats_t
decomp_stats_t

CRTP
Crtp_Stat_t

IPHC
Iphc_Stat_t

HCSimStatistics
HC: comp_stats_t/Crtp_Stat_t/Iphc_Stat_t
DC: decomp_stats_t/Crtp_Stat_t/Iphc_Stat_t
hcNumberOfPacketsProduced_compressedHeaders()
hcNumberOfPacketsProduced_fullOrUnmodifiedHeaders()
hcNumberOfPacketsFailed()
hcBytesBeforeCompression()
hcBytesAfterCompression()
dcNumberOfPacketsRestored()
dcNumberOfPacketsRestored_compressedHeaders()
dcNumberOfPacketsRestored_fullOrUnmodifiedHeaders()
dcNumberOfPacketsFailed()
```

Figure 21. Data structures for statistics wrapped in class HCSimStatistics.

The information that is common to all compression schemes can now be accessed through the access functions of the wrapper class. For compression scheme specific data, such as for instance the number of header requests received, we can create access functions that only apply when that specific compression scheme is defined. The code of HC-Sim already has a technique for doing this, which relies on C pre-processor macro constants defined at compile time to determine which compression scheme is used - USE_IPHC, USE_CRTP or USE_ROHC. The code example below demonstrates how they may be used in class HCSimStatistics.

```
example)
#if USE_IPHC | USE_CRTP
   unsigned int hcNumberOfHeaderRequestsReceived();
#endif
```

The function declaration above is hence only available when IPHC or CRTP compression is used. This type of solution works well when only one compression scheme at a time is used in HC-Sim, which is currently the only legal compile time configuration. We will return to this issue in the discussion on how HC-Sim could be re-engineered at the end of the project (see There can be only one, page 74).
Event related statistics

In Table 3 (page 24) we saw that the HC-Sim callback interface contains the following function declaration:

```c
hcsim_callback_event(hcsim_callback_event_t,
                     hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)
```

This function is what makes event related simulation statistics available to the GUI. Each event that is relevant to HC-Sim GUI from a statistical point of view (see Running the simulation, page 26) is associated with an event type in the callback interface. Here follows some examples from the file `hcsim_callback_interface.h`.

```c
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_COMPRESSED 0x00000001
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_DECOMPRESSED 0x00000002
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_SENT 0x00000004
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_RECEIVED 0x00000008
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_EXTERNAL_PACKET_RECEIVED 0x00000010
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_EXTERNAL_PACKET_SENT 0x00000020
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_DROPPED 0x00000040
```

An event type together with other event classification data form an event code, whose structure is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. The structure of the HC-Sim callback event code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 – 29</td>
<td>Header compression scheme (IPHC, CRTP, ROHC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 – 27</td>
<td>Simulator side (Charles or Diana)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 – 22</td>
<td>Logical class of the event (compressor, decompressor, channel, …)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 0</td>
<td>Event type (header compressed, header decompressed, packet sent, …)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the structure of the event code bears some resemblance to the fields of an IP header. The idea behind this design was simply to make event classification easy, but future implementations might use this feature for passing event messages in more sophisticated ways, for instance wrapped in IP/UDP datagrams.

The bits of the event code also have one important feature: each bit is associated with precisely one piece of information. This way we can create codes that represent more than one type of event at once, such as for instance decompressing a header and then immediately dropping the packet because is was duplicated. This type of event code usage was also mainly intended for more sophisticated future implementations, and will not be applied in this project. Another advantage of the one-bit-per-piece-of-information approach is that is makes forming event codes very easy by making bit wise OR of each part of the event code, in which the order of the different parts becomes irrelevant.

*example*)

```c
int event_code = HSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_ROHC|HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES|HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_HC|HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_DROPPED
```

After forming an event code like in the example above, the event code is used as a simulation event representation that is passed from the HC-Sim side to the HC-Sim GUI side through the function `hcsim_callback_event` of the callback interface (as a wildcard idea for the future. Maybe in a distributed version of HC-Sim, or in a version of HC-Sim GUI in which the simulator and the graphical user interface run as separate processes.)
parameter of type \texttt{hcsim\_callback\_event\_t}). In Figure 22 we see how a callback event is associated with an \texttt{HCSimStatistics} object, which is stored in an \texttt{HCSimStatisticsQueue} managed by class \texttt{HCSimGUI}. The callback interface implementation receives the code for the event, along with any event related data, creates an instance of class \texttt{HCSimStatistics}, and stores the event code and a snapshot copy of the statistics struct at the time of the event callback in that object. The \texttt{HCSimStatistics} object is then put in a statistics queue associated with either Charles or for Diana, depending on the \texttt{event side} value of the event code.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig22.png}
\caption{Upon a callback, a \texttt{HCSimStatistics} object is stored in a \texttt{HCSimStatisticsQueue}.}
\end{figure}

\section*{Information extraction}

An instance of class \texttt{HCSimStatistics} associated with an event code and a time stamp provides much more information than the single statistic structure instance that is used by the original version of HC-Sim. A plain statistics struct merely contains data that indicate what has happened so far in the simulation – an \textit{accumulated} view of the information, while the former can be used to convey both an \textit{event specific} view and a \textit{time specific} view of the simulation data. Each instance indicates what happened, when, and where. This information enables us to answer simulation related questions such as:

- How many packets had been compressed when the simulation had run for 2.4 seconds?
- How many packets were dropped by the decompressor between the time of the first packet being lost and the time at which the decompressor received a refreshed IP header context?
- How much time passes between IP header requests as an average?

\section*{Extracting information from snapshots of statistics}

The statistics structure copy that is stored in each instance of class \texttt{HCSimStatistics} itself does not provide us with the information needed to answer the last two of the questions above, because it is only a reflection of the accumulated statistics of a simulation at a certain point in time. For the same reason that it takes two points to form a line, it takes two statistics instances to acquire the \textit{changes} in statistics. To extract the desired information we produce a \textit{difference} view of the statistics at the time of one event.
and the time a previous, which is done by simply subtracting an object associated with an earlier event from an object associated with a later event. The new delta-statistics instance can now tell us what we need to know in order to answer all of the questions above.

To picture the process, Figure 23 shows an instance of class `HCSimStatistics` containing the difference in statistics between two `HCSimStatistics` objects separated in time.

To facilitate comparisons of statistics between different simulations and to keep track of what objects are associated with which simulation, we need to be able to uniquely identify each instance of class `HCSimStatistics`. For this reason a simulation generation identifier key is associated with each object that is created in the course of a simulation. The identifier is simply an integer that is increased each time a new simulation begins.

Assuming that only one event at a time can take place in the simulator, we are now able to identify any instance of class `HCSimStatistics` first by its generation number and second by the simulation time at which the statistics were acquired. Should ever more than one simulation event be allowed to occur at the same time, the event code could be used to find out which of the events logically took place first. This could be achieved by knowing, for instance, that a packet must be received over a channel in the simulator before it can reach the decompressor.

---

9 Inter-simulation comparisons are not covered by this project, but intended for future functionality.
Plot data and graphs
From statistics to graphs
While it is the job of the HC-Sim side to gather event related statistics and store them, it is the job of the HC-Sim GUI side to parse the data in order to transform them into a form more suitable for graphical presentation. This process will be examined in this section.

Plot data
A plot data instance is simply an intermediate representation of a point and an interval in a graph, which means that it contains data corresponding to an x- and a y-coordinate and optionally an x- and a y-interval. Several plot data instances together can then be used to create a plot in a graph. Note that even though this representation of data is closely related to actual coordinates that are painted in a graph, no actual coordinates in the form (x,y) are contained in this representation. Only when a graph is actually being painted with a certain line type, line width, zoom factor and other settings can the actual coordinates be calculated. Examples of plot data for a graph are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Example of plot data for a Compression ratio – Time graph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Recent compression ratio</th>
<th>Average compression ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plot data manager
An HCSimPlotDataManager handles the task of transforming HCSimStatistics objects into plot data. Just like with the statistics queues managed by class HCSimGUI, there is one plot data manager for Charles and one for Diana. Each plot data manager manages a number of HCSimPlotDataVector objects, each of which is associated with a plot in one or several graphs, containing the plot data instances that are created during a simulation. The relationship between these classes is shown in Figure 24.

Figure 24. The relationship between HC-Sim statistics, plot data and graphs.
The ConcretePlotData in the UML class diagram in Figure 24 is a dummy class that represents any actual type of plot data, such as compression ratio – time. For the sake of providing a common reference to all types of plot data, each concrete plot data class inherits the abstract HCSimPlotData class. The HCSimPlotDataVector class is a specialized container class that is used for storing one particular type of concrete plot data only. Each plot data vector inherits the abstract HCSimPlotDataContainer class, acting as a view towards the associated HCSimGraph, which reads and paints the plot data.

From statistics to plot data

On each periodic timeout (see HC-Sim GUI thread of execution, page 27) the statistics queues of class HCSimGUI are processed and the statistics are transformed into plot data for the graphs as shown in Figure 25. Class HCSimGUIStatisticsWindow pops objects from the statistics queues for the Charles side and for the Diana side by invoking pop_front() and pop_front_diff() until all objects that were stored in the queues at the beginning of the timeout have been popped.

For each object to be popped, HCSimStatisticsQueue obtains its first HCSimStatistics object and makes a copy of it from which the last object popped from the same queue is subtracted, this way obtaining the difference in statistics from the last event (see Figure 23). The HCSimStatistics objects representing the event and the statistical difference are passed to the HCSimPlotDataManager associated with the simulator side for the event (Charles or Diana).

The plot data manager then examines the callback event code for the HCSimStatistics instance and creates plot data accordingly. In the example of Figure 25 the callback code indicates that a header has been compressed, which should result in storing plot data in the CompressionRatio_vs_Time and HeaderLength_vs_Packet containers.

Figure 25. HCSimStatistics are transformed into plot data by an HCSimPlotDataManager.

The actual values for the plot data should be easy to calculate from the data available in the HCSimStatistics objects. A suggestion for how the values of the plot data could be obtained for the above example is shown in Table 8.
Table 8. How the plot data values in the example of Figure 25 are obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot value</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>averageRatio</td>
<td>stats.hcBytesBeforeCompression() - stats.hcBytesAfterCompression()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recentRatio</td>
<td>Diff.hcBytesBeforeCompression() - diff.hcBytesAfterCompression()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin_time</td>
<td>stats.getSimTime() - diff.getSimTime()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta_time</td>
<td>Diff.getSimTime()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lengthBefore</td>
<td>Diff.hcBytesBeforeCompression()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lengthAfter</td>
<td>Diff.hcBytesAfterCompression()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beginPackets</td>
<td>stats.hcNumberOfPacketsProduced() - diff.hcNumberOfPacketsProduced()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deltaPackets</td>
<td>diff.hcNumberOfPacketsProduced()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Painting the plot data

Each type of graph must define its own way of transforming the information of plot data instances into actual plots of the graphs. Below is an example (in C++ code) of how plot data instances could be converted into pixels for a plot of header length before compression against time.

Example

```cpp
void paintData(HeaderLength_vs_Time last, HeaderLength_vs_Time next) {
    int len_before_y1 = last.lengthBefore*this->pixels_y_per_byte;
    int len_before_y2 = next.lengthBefore*this->pixels_y_per_byte;
    int len_before_x1 = last.begin_time*pixels_x_per_second;
    int len_before_x2 = next.begin_time*pixels_x_per_second;
    drawLine(len_before_x1, len_before_y1, len_before_x2, len_before_y2);
}
```

The structure of graphs

It is clear that several types of graphs are needed to display the wide varieties of data that are rendered interesting in a simulation. In the limited time scope of this project however, only the aspects of a simulation that are common to all compression schemes, in particular those related to header compression performance, need to be realized as graph classes.

We expect that further development of HC-Sim GUI will focus on designing several other types of graphs as well. It is important that the basic class and code structure of the graphs encourage this development, by aiming for code re-use and providing a significant amount of readily developed common functionality.

Base classes

To facilitate future development, the design of the graph classes involves several abstract base classes that contain functionality that is common to several subclasses. As shown in Figure 26, the class at the top of the graph class hierarchy is HCSimGraph. This class is responsible for handling all graph tasks that apply to graphs in general, such as event handling, scrolling, painting borders and scheduling repaints of dirty areas.
For the most common types of x-axis value types, *time* and *packets*, abstract classes to handle basic functionality are provided. The same concept is also adopted for some y-axis value types, such as *header length* and *compression ratio*. By using multiple inheritance of x- and y-value type base classes, the process of creating new graph classes is simplified, as they are only required to implement the function `paintData()`. Providing base classes for y-value types is of course only useful if the program contains more than one graph plotting these y-value types against different x-value types. For some graphs this may not be applicable, in which case a y-value type base class need not be provided.

**Graph meta-information**

A graph itself has basic properties such as a name, an x-axis and a y-axis title, axis grading etc. These properties are maintained by an `HCSimGraphInfoManager`, which acts as the interface to the graph for classes that wish to change or gain access to these properties. By making this interface the only view of a graph that is accessible to these classes, they are independent of the particular type of graph that the interface represents.

**Plot meta-information**

One graph can contain several plots, each of which has its own traits such as a label, a color, a line type, line width and other properties. An `HCSimPlotInfoManager` maintains instances of class `HCSimPlotInfo` that represent this information. Analogous to class `HCSimGraphInfoManager`, the references to `HCSimPlotInfo` objects provided by this manager are the only aspect of this information that is permitted to classes that need access to it.

\[\text{HCSimGraphInfoManager} \rightarrow \text{HCSimPlotInfoManager} \rightarrow \text{HCSimPlotInfo} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraph} \rightarrow \text{HCSimPlotInfoManager} \rightarrow \text{HCSimPlotInfo} \]

\[\text{paintData()} \]

\[\text{paintXAxis()} \]

\[\text{paintYAxis()} \]

\[\text{getZoomX()} \]

\[\text{setZoomX()} \]

\[\text{getZoomY()} \]

\[\text{setZoomY()} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraphX-Packet} \rightarrow \text{paintXAxis()} \rightarrow \text{getZoomX()} \rightarrow \text{setZoomX()} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraphY-PacketRate} \rightarrow \text{paintYAxis()} \rightarrow \text{getZoomY()} \rightarrow \text{setZoomY()} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraph-HeaderLength_vs_Packets} \rightarrow \text{paintData()} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraph-CompressionRate_vs_Time} \rightarrow \text{paintData()} \]

\[\text{HCSimGraph-PacketRate_vs_Time} \rightarrow \text{paintData()} \]

Figure 26. The class structure of graphs in HC-Sim GUI.

---

10 Should multiple inheritance not be available in the language of choice, then aggregation could also be used.
Graphical design

User control interface design

In the first phase of the implementation process produces a simple front end for testing purposes (Figure 27). The user interface is a separate program used to execute HC-Sim.

![Simple Front End](image1)

Figure 27. A very simple front end.

The level of usability and user interaction of this interface is no better than in a command-line interface. To eliminate the need to enter all HC-Sim parameters manually, the program is extended so that the settings can be made through GUI widgets (Figure 28).

![Extended Front End](image2)

Figure 28. An extended front end for standalone mode only.
Controlling the controls

User interface flexibility

The controls used to set up a simulation in standalone mode can easily be re-configured to also be used in two-machine mode. For instance, when HC-Sim operates in standalone mode, an external packet source and destination may be chosen. When in two-machine mode, the sender side (Charles) must know the packet destination, and the receiver side (Diana) must know the packet source. Optionally, an external source may also be chosen at the sender side, and an external destination may be used at the sender side.

In the user interface, controls must be added to let the user choose whether to operate in standalone mode or in two-machine mode, as either compressor/sender (Charles) or receiver/decompressor (Diana).

The input fields and control mechanisms for source address and destination address are the same in all modes, but their meanings become different when operating in two-machine mode. In standalone mode and in Diana mode, an external packet destination in refers to an arbitrary external application, while in Charles mode, the external destination refers to the simulator on the receiver side. In the same fashion, an external packet source in standalone mode and in Charles mode refers to an arbitrary application, while in Diana mode it refers to the simulator on the sender side. These differences can easily be made clear to the user by switching the caption text from for instance "External (application)" to "External (HC-Sim)" when switching modes.

Some controls are not applicable in all modes. For instance, in two-machine mode a choice can be made controlling what type of communication network to use between the compressor side and decompressor side (Ethernet or UDP encapsulation), but this option has no purpose in standalone mode because no network is used. Generally, controls that do not apply in a certain context should be hidden, or the user will not understand their purpose. By only showing the user the controls that apply, the user interface will be less crowded with controls.

The power of constraints

The ability to graphically show the user precisely what he can control in the user interface helps make a simulation setup less complicated. Looking at the command-line interface, there are no restrictions on what the user can input. Since the possibility of making ill configurations is always there, a user is bound to make them at some time. There are simply too few constraints on how the user can produce a valid command to the program [5][ii]. The graphical user interface can put physical restrictions on the input even before it is passed to the simulator for verification.

Some controls in the GUI only make sense when some other control device is in a certain state. For instance, the input field where the packet source address is entered should be disabled\(^{11}\) when running a simulation playback (only one packet generator is allowed), this way preventing the user from providing an invalid combination of parameters to the simulator. Or the other way around: when choosing the packet source "testfile", there is no reason why the user should be able to uncheck the testfile checkbox, signifying that he does not wish to specify which testfile to use. The testfile must be specified, so the program checks the box and then disables it, this way making sure the user knows that he must input this parameter to be able to run the simulation. Sometimes, forcing the user to make the right choices is the only sure way of knowing that valid configurations are produced.

\(^{11}\) When a GUI widget is disabled, it is "grayed out" and cannot gain mouse or keyboard focus.
An improved version of the graphical user interface is shown in Figure 29. By using the power of constraints, the number of controls available to the user has now been reduced, without losing any of the functionality.

![Figure 29. Configuration constraints in a front end extended for two-machine mode.](image-url)
Displaying HC-Sim data
Statistics as graphs and numbers

This section explores the use of graphs in HC-Sim GUI further, but this time from a graphical-design point of view (see also Plot data and graphs, page 35). There are many things to consider when displaying data with the aid of graphs. Most importantly, to whom do we intend to show the data? A developer probably craves detailed plots and wants to be able to make comparisons between different plots and simulation results. A potential customer is primarily interested in the overall result of the header compression, and should not be bothered by intricate details (Figure 30). We need to establish what types of data are the most interesting to display.

Some graphs could have several plots, for instance to show the header length before compression and after compression in the same graph (Figure 31). It would be useful to be able to focus on one plot at the time, and hide the others. General settings such as axis grading style, colors and line styles should also be easy for the user to change in order to best serve the current type of usage (development, testing and evaluation, live demonstrations).

Figure 30. A simple graph containing a plot of compression ratio against packets.

Figure 31. A graph with two plots of IP datagram header length against time.

Despite the potential of using graphs, there are some problems that should be addressed when using this means of presentation:

- A graph that grows fast during the simulation may be hard to follow
- The plots might overlap, yielding a mess that is hard to interpret
- If the graph is too rich, the user will have problems interpreting its meaning
There are also some functional negative aspects to consider:

- A graph consumes much screen space, and we will most likely have several graphs
- Painting graphs might require much resources, and may interfere with the simulation

It is clear that all data cannot be presented this way, or the user would be overwhelmed with graphs. Because of this, other ways of presenting data than through graphs must be provided. When studying details of the simulation it may become necessary to view the numerical statistics which the command based version of HC-Sim displays at the end of each simulation. By taking advantage of the graphical environment we can now present these data continuously to the user as the simulation progresses, thus giving us a complement to the graphical presentation (Figure 32). The data do not require any pre-presentation treatment the way the plot data of a graph do. All we need to do is to associate some data variables with labels, and make sure these labels are updated as the simulation progresses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numeric stats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total bytes before compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bytes after compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full headers produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed headers produced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 32. Statistics presented a plain numbers in the GUI.

Simulation progress and status

At all times, the user should be able to tell how the simulation is going. Is it currently running, halted or stopped? For how long will the simulation be running? Since this information is viewed frequently in the course of a simulation, it should be presented clearly and unambiguously. The simulation status, for instance, could be shown with the aid of a traffic light icon (Figure 33), which is green while a simulation is running, yellow when paused and red if it is stopped.

Figure 33. Simulation status shown with traffic light icons (green, yellow, red).

Progress was particularly difficult to show in the original version of HC-Sim, as it should be displayed all the time, and updated continuously. This is made quite easily in the GUI. The progress of the simulation is displayed as a time value, which can be either real time or simulated time. To make sure that the user always knows how much of the total simulation time (which could be infinite) has elapsed, a simple progress bar is also supplied (Figure 34).

Figure 34. Simulation progress displayed numerically and graphically in a progress bar.
Error messages

As we saw in Figure 29, the number of potential configuration errors that the user can make can be constrained in the user interface. Although some errors can be avoided this way, others can only be detected once HC-Sim examines the given configuration, parses test files and log files, opens up connections and so on. There are also run-time errors to consider, such as I/O errors and memory errors. In general, error messages providing adequate information should be displayed to the user in all these cases.

Depending on whether the error is something that can be corrected by the user, or if it is the result of some operating system failure that is beyond the control of the user, the error message should be informative enough to at least allow the user to make that decision. If possible, a hint on how to solve the problem should also be provided. Remember that the user needs to be aware of the functionality and capabilities of the program in order to make a proper configuration, and so these messages are more directed to developers than to laymen. An example of a simple error message is shown in Figure 35.

Figure 35. A simple error message for an invalid test case configuration.
Implementation

This section contains selected highlights from the implementation of HC-Sim GUI. It aims at providing the reader with a basic understanding of the resources that were involved in the process and of the methods of operation that were used. Specific solutions will be presented for some of the more complicated and interesting implementation challenges – in particular, those solutions that may reflect how the design goals were met with will be given special attention.

Resources

Choice of programming language

As the original version of HC-Sim is written in ANSI-C it would make sense to follow in those footsteps. Unfortunately, the C programming language lacks some of the nice features that its big brother C++ possesses, such as exceptions, function objects and templates. The error handling in HC-Sim in particular would not be possible to incorporate into the graphical user interface and still maintain a program that deserves to be called user-friendly (see Program parameters, page 19). Using exceptions to pass the responsibility of error handling to the user interface upon simulation misconfiguration is a much nicer and cleaner solution, which by itself is a real motivation for using a language with exception handling for this project.

Another wanted feature is of course support for object-orientation. One of the endeavors of this project is to explore the advantages of object-oriented thinking in a re-engineering project, and that would prove difficult unless we could actually make use of them. Hence, C++ is our language of choice.

Development environment

As HC-Sim GUI aims for platform independence, the main platform on which development is made should be arbitrary. Still, it is widely known among developers that making a program compile and work the same on various Unix and Linux systems is quite different from the more challenging task of also making it work the same on Windows platforms. Anticipating that this would become an issue, the development is made in a simulated Unix environment on Windows 2000, with the aid of the bash compatible shell Cygwin [14]. This way, the intricacies of both Windows and Unix can be tackled at once. During the development process, continuous testing must also be made on "pure" Unix and Linux platforms to ensure compatibility.

Choice of graphics library

The requirements that the graphics library be both portable, fast, as well as easy to use and integrate with HC-Sim are not easy to meet with. For advanced graphics, libraries such as OpenGL with GLUT spring to mind. For this project, however, we do not require the graphics to be that advanced, and besides - OpenGL usually only works well if accelerated hardware support is available, which would place unnecessary restrictions on the environment and platform used for the program.

What we desire is not only a portable graphics library, but also a toolkit which includes the means necessary to design a graphical user interface without having to implement basic widgets such as buttons, menus, text fields and so on. For ease of development it would also be of great value to use an open source project, preferably one that is updated often and used by a large community. After some Googling [21] I came across a toolkit that is widely used and comes highly recommended from several graphics library websites.
The **FOX toolkit** [15] is a graphical user interface toolkit written in C++. It is an open source project designed to work the same way on most platforms. The greatest benefit of the toolkit is that it includes implementations of classes representing most GUI widgets, such as labels, menus and buttons. The design of these classes is similar that of the *Java 2 Swing classes* [20], making them easy to use for developers that are accustomed to using middleware libraries when creating graphical user interfaces. Another similarity with the Java 2 Swing classes is that FOX relies on lightweight components for constructing applications. This can prove to be a great asset, as this means fewer system calls that may consume computer resources. The toolkit also comes with a rich variety of encapsulating classes that allow developers to handle native resources such as files, streams, fonts, images, icons, and registry settings in a platform independent manner.

**Tools**

**Build tool**

For the original version of HC-Sim there exists make files for each of the three header compression schemes, specially developed for use with *GNU Make* [16]. The make files for the three header compression libraries are also GNU Make compatible. To be able to take full advantage of these already existing files, a GNU compatible make file was created and used for the HC-Sim GUI project as well.

Some of the main benefits of using GNU Make are:

- Implementations exist for each of the platforms under which HC-Sim is developed.
- It is freeware
- It supports conditional statements within make files

**Compiler**

A C++ compatible compiler must be used to compile HC-Sim GUI. During the development of the project, the GNU g++ compiler [17] was the one that was used on all platforms. HC-Sim with no GUI requires an ANSI-C compatible compiler.
Threads and synchronization

Platform independence

For HC-Sim GUI to be runnable on all platforms, native threads and synchronization objects are never used directly. A wrapper class named SimpleThread was designed to overcome the problem of thread differences. It relies on Pthreads [6](iii) for most platforms, except on WIN32, where native threads are used. All thread synchronization in HC-Sim GUI relies on a semaphore wrapper class named SimpleSemaphore, which was also implemented for this project.

HC-Sim and threads

HC-Sim was not designed to run in a multi-threaded environment, but this is not necessarily a limiting factor for HC-Sim GUI. All we need to do is make sure that only one thread at a time has access to any critical sections within HC-Sim. Since we have made a clear distinction which functionality of HC-Sim that is used by the HC-Sim GUI thread, the places in the code in which these issues may arise are narrowed down to a great extent.

Synchronizing GUI updates

One drawback of the FOX toolkit is its lack of support for multi-threaded applications. Only one thread is allowed to update graphical components, but implementing a way of synchronizing GUI updates through some intermediate class can solve this problem. For this sake, a thread interaction handler was designed.

Thread interaction handler

The interaction handler utilizes the fact that a synchronization object can be added as input to a FOX application. The application periodically examines the input to see if it will block, and as soon as it will not, a function to handle the input is called. The thread interaction handler stores GUI events from other threads, adds a synchronization object to the application and signals it when new tasks are to be performed. The event chain is explained in the list below and in Figure 36.

1) The HC-Sim thread needs to invoke a function that directly or indirectly changes a graphical component. To achieve this, a pointer to the function, the associated object and the required parameters are wrapped in an allocated function object of class UpdateGUI_event.

2) The function object is passed as a parameter to the function addUpdateGUIevent of the HCSimGUIThreadInteractionHandler. The event object is placed in an event queue for later retrieval.

3) The synchronization object of the thread interaction handler is added as input to the FXApp instance associated with the HC-Sim GUI application. The synchronization object is then signaled.

4) The HC-Sim GUI thread examines the input in the main event loop of class FX::FXApp. As soon as the input is signaled, the thread interaction handler is notified and enters a function to handle events.

5) In handleEvents, each event object in the queue is popped, executed and then deallocated.
Synchronization object

The type of object we refer to when we talk about a synchronization object depends on the platform used. The input that is passed to `addInput` of class `FX::FXApp` may be one of three types: a native synchronization object, an `FX::FXTimer (timeout)` or an input stream. For WIN32 platforms any native synchronization object such as a `semaphore` or a `mutex` can be used. Under Linux or Unix, we synchronize by passing the reading side of a `pipe` as input to the application, and signal it by writing to the writing side. The third alternative, to use a timeout, is only used if the others are not available, since it is the least smooth way of synchronizing GUI updates in FOX.12

---

12 Synchronization by using a timer in FOX introduces a small delay on almost every update.
Editing the HC-Sim code

Keeping HC-Sim unaffected by the addition of the GUI suggests that all code related to HC-Sim GUI will only be compiled and used when HC-Sim GUI is compiled, but not when the original version of HC-Sim is compiled. This means adding more trees to the already crowded "macro jungle" of the HC-Sim source code, but as long as we manage to provide a clear view of what this code is for, this should not present any significant problems. Hopefully, this will not raise any objections from other HC-Sim developers, who are accustomed to this type of solution.

Flow control

Initialize and run

Originally, the code to parse the command-line parameters to HC-Sim was placed in the main function, as was the code to initialize and run the simulator. The initialization code and the code to run the simulation were now moved to two separate functions, which can be invoked from HC-Sim GUI. Also, with the addition of the GUI, there can be no main function in HC-Sim, and so we place it inside a conditional C preprocessor statement – a technique that has already been widely adopted in the HC-Sim code.

```c
#ifndef USE_HCSIM_GUI
int main(i32_t argc, i8_t *argv[]) {
    init_hcsim(argc,argv);
    run_hcsim();
}
#endif /* USE_HCSIM_GUI */
```

Resetting data

As HC-Sim is designed to run one simulation only and then terminate, there is no code to do explicit cleanup after each simulation. HC-Sim relies to a great extent on values of variables defined in several source files, and as we are keeping this structure unchanged we must add a reset function to all these files, which is also placed within conditional C preprocessor statements by using the USE_HCSIM_GUI macro variable. There are also many files and sockets that are used during the simulation that remain open and must be closed. Previously, the developers relied on these files being closed upon exit but, since this is generally a poor way of handling files, we add these features unconditionally to the code.

Error handling

Whenever an error occurred in the command-line version of HC-Sim, the program would terminate immediately by using an ABORT macro, which simply prints an error message and exits. This way of handling errors is of course unacceptable when using a graphical user interface. Upon error, the user should be notified of what went wrong through a simple error message so that he can fix the problem and run the simulation again. What we would like from HC-Sim is an exception being thrown upon error, so that HC-Sim GUI can catch it and take the appropriate measures. Since exceptions are not available in the C language, we are then forced to make HC-Sim compile with a C++ compiler.

The abort macros are defined in a compatibility header file (`effcompat.h`), which is part of the products’ common debugging library - available to and used by all Effnet header compression libraries. Changing this header file to support C++ exceptions is not an option. The common library must be compiled as ANSI-C to be used by the header compression libraries, and second, adding code that will only affect HC-Sim to this header file would mean having unnecessary code in the distribution of Effnets products. What
was done instead was to re-define the abort macro for HC-Sim to not call \texttt{eff\_abort} but to throw an \texttt{EffAbortException} when a C++ compiler is used:

Original abort function and macro (from HC-Sim source code header file \texttt{effcompat.h})
\begin{verbatim}
#if EFFABORT
void eff_abort(const i8_t *fmt, ...)
  __attribute__((noreturn, format(printf, 1, 2)));
#define EFF_ABORT_FUNCTION(args) eff_abort args
#endif
\end{verbatim}

HC-Sim’s abort function and macro (from newly added header file \texttt{hsim\_abort.h}):
\begin{verbatim}
#if EFFABORT & EFFABORT\_cplusplus\_exceptions & if defined __cplusplus
#include "effcompat.h"
void eff_abort_with_exception(const i8_t *fmt, ...)
  throw(EffAbortException)
  __attribute__((format(printf, 1, 2)));
#undef EFF_ABORT_FUNCTION /* Remove old value */
#define EFF_ABORT_FUNCTION(args) eff_abort_with_exception args
#endif /* EFFABORT & EFFABORT\_cplusplus\_exceptions & __cplusplus */
\end{verbatim}

Traces
HC-Sim has hundreds of trace lines embedded in the code, some of which are relevant to the data presentation during a simulation, and some that are detailed functional traces intended for debugging. Which traces that are actually printed during program execution can be controlled by setting a trace mask, which is a global integer variable defined in HC-Sim. The original idea was that the trace mask should be set to accept only the traces that the user wants, and then the source file in which the variable is defined is re-compiled. Usually when we are using a GUI, we do not want any trace lines from HC-Sim, as that could be inhibiting to the performance of the graphical components.

To enable more flexible control of the trace lines, the trace masks used internally within HC-Sim were placed in the separate header file \texttt{hcsim\_trace.h}, through which the trace mask can be set through a function at any time during the execution of the program. The user can change the settings in a control panel, which is available in the preferences of HC-Sim GUI (see Figure 37). We have thus substituted what was originally a compile-time configuration in HC-Sim for a run-time configuration in HC-Sim GUI without actually changing the code of the original system.
Figure 37. HC-Sim traces can be controlled in the preferences of HC-Sim GUI.

**Invoking callback interface functions**

We already have a clear view of which functions are required in order to communicate with the external user interface. These functions are declared in the header file `hcsim_callback_interface.h` [Appendix B].

Adding that functionality to HC-Sim requires addition of a significant amount of code. This code is not even supposed to be compiled when building HC-Sim without a graphical user interface. To work around this problem, the header file `hcsim_callback.h` [Appendix C] was added as a complement to the HC-Sim callback interface. For each of the callback functions declared in the callback interface, this header file contains a corresponding C pre-processor macro function (see code example below). The relationship between the interface files is shown in Figure 38.

```c
/**
 * Invokes callback function for some event with the given
 * event class, event type, and the given data,
 * if the callback event mask allows it.
 *
 * @param ev_side
 * Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES or HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA.
 * @param ev_class The class of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X)
 * @param ev_type The type of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X)
 * @param data The event data (of type
 * hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)
 * @return void
 */
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,data) \
    hcsim_callback_event_t code = \ 
    get_hcsim_callback_event_code(EV_SCHEME|ev_side|ev_class|ev_type);\ 
    if(code) \ 
    hcsim_callback_event(code,(hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)data);
```
The function macros are only used when we are compiling with HC-Sim GUI. Otherwise, they are all defined as empty macros and will have no affect on HC-Sim. By using only these function macros when invoking functions of the callback interface, HC-Sim remains independent of the code in HC-Sim that only applies to HC-Sim GUI.

Making polls with callbacks

As we have mentioned previously, callback polls to check the simulation status are made between handling of the internal simulator events of HC-Sim. However, when receiving data over a network, the simulation will be blocked until data arrives. Hence, the HC-Sim side will not know when the user has terminated the simulation.

HC-Sim receives all network data in non-blocking mode. The reason why the simulation is still blocked is simply that the program remains in the same loop until data arrive over the network or until an internal flag signals that it should give up waiting for data. Within all loops of this kind we poll the user interface each time around the loop to check if the simulation has been terminated. If so, we exit the loop saying that nothing was read.

Making report callbacks

The reports are only sent when the simulation begins and when it ends, so the one place where we need to put the code to make this is before and after the simulator event loop.

Making simulation event callbacks

The event callbacks are different than the other types of callbacks because they cover several different aspects of the simulation, and so they are made from various places in HC-Sim. Table 9 shows where some of the most common simulation event callbacks are made from.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event type</th>
<th>Structural location of callback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External packet received</td>
<td>Packet generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External packet sent</td>
<td>Packet sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator packet received</td>
<td>Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator packet sent</td>
<td>Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP header compressed</td>
<td>Compressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP header decompressed</td>
<td>Decompressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet dropped</td>
<td>Compressor or decompressor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9 only contains the event types that are common to all header compression schemes. The compressor and decompressor are however not part of the HC-Sim base code, but are in fact implemented in the specific header compression library that is used, and in the glue code binding it to the simulator. It is in these glue code files that the callback code for the compressor and decompressor must be placed, because:

a) We cannot place callback code in the header compression libraries if we are to adhere to the restriction that these libraries should not be altered.

b) The glue code for each header compression scheme interacts directly with the header compression library. Therefore, it is the only place in HC-Sim where the information that is required in order to make these callbacks is available.

All of the event callbacks listed in Table 9 can be made from within the HC-Sim code. The callback interface also contains declarations of event type codes that are specific to each header compression scheme (see Table 10). For some of these, the information required to make event callbacks is available only within the header compression library. For this reason, callbacks for these simulation events were not implemented, since we must pay heed to the restriction that the code of the libraries should not be altered. Should these events be of particular interest for simulations, the event information could instead be obtained by examining the statistics structures of HC-Sim upon callbacks for closely related events, for instance when a header has been compressed, and note changes in the corresponding data fields.

Table 10. Structural locations of callbacks for compression scheme specific event types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event type</th>
<th>Compression</th>
<th>Location of callback implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP header request sent</td>
<td>IPHC, CRTP</td>
<td>IPHC to HC-Sim glue code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP header request received</td>
<td>IPHC, CRTP</td>
<td>IPHC to HC-Sim glue code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context state sent</td>
<td>IPHC, CRTP</td>
<td>IPHC/CRTP library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context state received</td>
<td>IPHC, CRTP</td>
<td>IPHC/CRTP library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK sent</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK received</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACK sent</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACK received</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNACK sent</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNACK received</td>
<td>ROHC</td>
<td>ROHC library</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data acquisition and presentation

Controlling simulation event callbacks

Potential hazards

During an early stage of the implementation phase, my supervisor at Effnet AB pointed out to me that using event callbacks to obtain event related statistics might be a problem. It seemed like a good idea that would work, but how would it affect program performance when running a simulation over a network with a very high packet rate? It occurred to me that it was highly likely that the callback statistics queues would be so flooded with information that it would be impossible for the HC-Sim GUI thread to process it at a rate comparable to the packet rate. This could lead to the data not being presented smoothly or accurately, excessive use of memory as the statistics queues keep growing, or even program collapse.

Callback controls

If callback information flooding should occur, it would be handy to be able to turn off the event callbacks for events that do not interest the user or spectator in the current simulation. To achieve this, a method of control was introduced when making event callbacks: callbacks are only made for a particular type of event code if the event code matches a specific event callback mask for the simulation side for which the callback made (Charles or Diana). The mask is simply an integer consisting of bit wise OR:ed event code values, which are declared in HC-Sim callback interface. This is analogous to creating a callback event code. An example of how a value for an event callback mask could be created is shown in Figure 39.

![Event mask diagram](image)

Figure 39. Example of how a value can be assigned to an event callback mask.

Note that the IP header compression scheme and simulation side fields do not need to be set, as the former is predefined in HC-Sim and the latter is associated with the side whose mask is being used.

A help function, available only to the HC-Sim side, to check the event code was added to hcsim_callback.h and implemented in hcsim_callback.c (see code excerpts below). The HC-Sim side uses the event mask each time an event callback is to be made, but the code versus mask verification is quick and simple:

```c
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side, ev_class, ev_type, data) {
    hcsim_callback_event_t code = get_hcsim_callback_event_code(EV_SCHEME|ev_side|ev_class|ev_type);
    if(code) hcsim_callback_event(code, data);
}
```
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Functions to get and set the event callback mask for each side were added to `hcsim_callback_interface.h`. The addition of functions therein that allow the HC-Sim GUI side to get and set the event callback masks does violate the relationship described in Figure 13, as it is now not only the HC-Sim side which gets to invoke functions of the callback interface. But what we have done is merely to include part of the HC-Sim control interface abstraction in the callback interface, and as HC-Sim still implements the control interface functionality we still maintain the most important aspect of the relationship. The function declarations could of course just as well be put in `hcsim_main.h`, which would be more in line with our policy, but make less sense since the functions are more related to the callback functions than to the control of HC-Sim in general.

The user of the program sets the event callback mask values via the preferences dialog of HC-Sim GUI before or during a simulation, by simply choosing what events are the most interesting in the current simulation (see Figure 40). Disabling an event category turns off callbacks for all events related to that category. Note that the event categories and basic event types are always the same regardless of what compression scheme is used, but that some events only apply when using a specific type of compression. In this case, because sending and receiving header requests can be applied, we are clearly using IPHC or CRTP compression.
Using periodic snapshots of statistics

Acquiring statistics periodically

As the simulation progresses, it is usually interesting to the user to gain an overview of the simulation by inspecting the numerical statistics provided by HC-Sim (see Figure 32). These data do not need to be related to any specific time or event, and so we do not need to use callbacks to acquire them. For this reason, a complement to the callback statistics was introduced: periodic snapshots of statistics, or non event-related statistics.

The idea is very simple: at each periodic timeout (see Figure 17) to process statistics and create plot data, the HC-Sim GUI thread creates a HCSimStatistics instance which represents an asynchronous snapshot of the statistics of HC-Sim. This means that the HC-Sim GUI thread copies the data from the statistics structs of HC-Sim, regardless of at which point of execution the HC-Sim thread is located at that moment. This introduces a likely chance of small statistical errors, for instance that the number of bytes before compression has just been incremented while the bytes after compression value remains at its old value, should the statistics be acquired during the compression of an IP header. These small errors are irrelevant, as the statistics change constantly before the eyes of the beholder, who is merely interested in gaining an overview of the simulation statistics.

As the periodic snapshots are made by the HC-Sim GUI thread, they can be acquired at a rate which is more suitable for the graphical user interface\(^\text{13}\), with no need to worry about becoming flooded with information or not having the time to repaint the presentation of numerical statistics between the updates. This fact is demonstrated by the addition of a compression ratio meter (Figure 41) in the statistics presentation window of HC-Sim GUI. At each periodic update, the compression ratio is calculated from the periodic statistics\(^\text{14}\), and the meter is updated accordingly, allowing the user to see an overview of the

\(^{13}\) The rate for periodic timeouts is set in the preferences of HC-Sim GUI. It is usually about 50 ms.

\(^{14}\) The calculation is the same as the one used for averageRatio and recentRatio in Table 8
compression ratio without the need for a graph. The "recent" compression ratio refers to
the average ratio of compression since the last periodic update.

In Figure 34 we suggested how the simulation progress could be shown, but we did not
say how or when the information (the simulation time) should be acquired. It is of course
also acquired from the periodic statistics at each timeout, to ensure that the simulation
time and progress are updated at a rate that suits the repainting of the graphics.

![Compression ratio meter in HC-Sim GUI, which is updated periodically.](image)

**Figure 41.** A compression ratio meter in HC-Sim GUI, which is updated periodically.

### A substitute for callback statistics

When not using event callbacks for a particular type of simulation event, some sort of
substitute should be provided, or the user would be staring at empty graphs. By using the
fact that the statistical difference of the periodic statistics represents an overview of the
statistics produced during a period of time in the simulator, we can provide a way of
presenting at least a rough picture of the simulation events that occurred during that time.
For example, the graph plotting IP datagram header length against packet number could
just as well paint the average number of header bytes per packet for the last \( n \) packets. It
would not be as detailed a graph, but may be well enough to give the user a satisfactory
overview of that piece of statistic. After all, should the user be particularly interested in
this graph, he can simple activate event related callbacks for the header compression event
and re-run the simulation to see it in more detail.

The manner in which a plot data instance is created from periodic statistics is not different
from when it is created from callback statistics. When the plot data manager (see *Plot
data manager*, page 35) transforms statistics into plot data, each type of calculated data
for any HCSimPlotData instance is *always* treated as an average value. The reason for
this is that even though callback statistics are used for a specific type of event, the plot
data manager has no way of knowing whether callbacks has been activated during the
whole of the simulation. When using callbacks for a particular type of simulation event,
however, the statistical difference should always produce a precise value. For example,
the statistical difference produced after a packet-drop event callback has a packet-drop
count of one.

One question that requires an answer is:
how do we know what statistical information to examine for when there is no specific
callback event code for the HCSimPlotDataManager to look at (see Figure 25)?
The answer is simple:
the callback event code for a periodic statistic instance consists of a bit wise OR of the
codes for all the event types that are *not* covered by event callbacks. This makes the plot
data manager examine the changes in statistics for all events *not* covered by callbacks, and
hence all graphs are updated, either through callback statistics or through periodic
snapshots. This means that we could inactivate event callbacks completely, and still get a
reasonable view of the simulation turnout.

---

15 The concept of statistical difference is defined in Figure 23.
FOX data targets

With the FOX library comes a simple class, `FX::FXDataTarget`, which allows some widgets to be connected to variables. On updating the component, the data target checks to see if the value of the variable has changed, and if so, repaints the component. This enables us to present the numerical statistics without having to update the widgets as the value is changed - FOX handles it for us. However, since we do not access any piece of statistic directly through the variables in the HC-Sim data structures, but through the access functions of class `HCSimStatistics`, we must create an intermediate class to fill the gap between `FX::FXDataTarget` and `HCSimStatistics`. Figure 42 shows how a label is updated via an `HCSimStatisticsFunctionDataTarget` connected to an access function for an instance of class `HCSimStatistics`.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 42. A label which is updated via an `HCSimStatisticsFunctionDataTarget`. 
Striving towards usability

Text completion

For simple GUI inputs such as IP-addresses, port numbers and file paths, the GUI remembers what has been entered previously. When the user enters the text, a suitable text completion suggestion pops up and this way the user may not have to type the whole string. Helping the user to remember data is a sure way of reducing annoyance and confusion. Aware of some peoples’ reluctance to this kind of help, the text completion can be turned off in the user preferences of the program.

Graphical details

As HC-Sim GUI has several areas of application, it is only natural that the data presentation can be made to fit the current needs. If the simulator is used during a presentation, the fonts, graph sizes, line types, styles and colors should be clear, easy to interpret and also look nice. Also the ability to zoom in and out on a graph enables either highly detailed data views or general overviews.

We mentioned earlier that the user should be able to focus on one thing at a time in the graphical presentation of the data. With this in mind, the ability to show and hide graphs at will by checking and unchecking boxes was implemented. In the screen dump in Figure 43 we see how the Compression ratio vs. Time and the Packet rate vs. Time graphs have been hidden. Contained in the graph that is visible (Header length vs. Packets), the plot Header length after compression is visible, while Header length before compression is not.

Figure 43. Screen dump showing how a user can hide graphs and plots by checking boxes.

Trace line controls

The manner in which simulation data are presented in the original version of HC-Sim may be unpractical in most cases, but there may still be occasions where a textual presentation is adequate or preferable. For this reason, the trace lines are still available in HC-Sim GUI, but the ability to turn them on and off at will has been added to the user settings (see Figure 37).
Remembering settings

It can be rather tedious to be forced to make the same program setup hundreds of times over just because the graphical user interface does not remember what you did in the last program session. All current user settings and configurations should be saved until the next time the program is run. With the aid of the FOX toolkit, this becomes a quite easy task. The toolkit contains a class named FXSettings that provides the programmer with an abstraction of a registry similar to the one used on Microsoft Windows platforms. Each entry contains a key-value pair and is stored using a categorical hierarchy, forming a tree of entries for each entry category. This design allows us to maintain different settings for different users, should this become a requirement in the future.

On Windows platforms, the native registry stores the data. On other platforms, a FOX-specific file, which is accessible to all FOX programs, is used. The chief advantage of this approach is that the settings are always accessible to the program, no matter from where on the platform it is run.

Editing test files

During testing and development, the test files used for describing simulation scenarios are edited frequently. The test files are simply text files in which a pre-defined grammar for describing data flows and simulation events is used to form a scenario. Using a plain text file editor such as Emacs will normally suffice, but since this task is so frequent it seemed reason enough to include an implementation of a simple test file editor in HC-Sim GUI. Not only does this help increase work efficiency when making many small changes to test files. By using color highlighting of HC-Sim test file syntax, the editor also becomes a way of presenting the simulator scenario in a clearer and more attractive way.

User interface consistency

Faulkner (2000) explains the concept of consistency in the user interface:

“The interface should reinforce the user’s expectations from any previous interaction with that system or indeed with similar systems.”

One of the most annoying and confusing flaws of any graphical user interface is lack of uniformity when providing the same functionality or information in different windows. For instance, the commands to run, pause and stop a simulation should be available in each window within HC-Sim GUI, and in all these places they should have the same functionality, hot key and appearance. Also, if a command that is associated with several control widgets is enabled or disabled, this must also be applied to all the control widgets. These issues were handled by designing a uniform resource manager and a uniform command manager. The structure of and relationship between uniform commands and resource representations is shown in Figure 44. Besides solving these problems, these classes also reduce code quantity and simplify changing properties of labels and buttons by using shared code that can easily be updated once and for all, instead of using the less flexible copy-and-paste technique.
Figure 44. Relationship between uniform commands and resource representations.
Managing the project

Automating the building process

Conditional makefile rules

One HC-Sim GUI Makefile was written for all supported platforms. By using suffix rules and conditional statements of GNU Make, the need for maintaining a separate makefile for each compression scheme, which is how HC-Sim is managed, was eliminated. Alternatively, this makefile could also be used to form a basis for generating a makefile for each header compression product, as Effnet wish to keep the products separated.

Dependencies

Dependency files generated by the compiler whenever a source file changes reflect the dependencies among source files. The dependency files are then used to re-compile only objects who are missing or for which the source file or any dependable source files have been edited. This strategy is necessary in HC-Sim GUI, because most source files include several FOX header files that are rather large, and for this reason they may take a long time to compile.

File structure and naming conventions

File names

All sources and object files that represent FOX objects, i.e. classes that inherit or are used by FOX objects, have a file name that begins with a capital letter. Capitalization is also used when representing abbreviations (e.g. HC, GUI, FX) and when separating words (e.g. MultiWordName).

examples) HCSimGUIMainWindow.h/.cpp, FXTextFilter.h/.cpp

All other files may only contain small letters and separate words by underscores.

examples) hcsim_gui_main.h/.cpp, hcsim_plot_info.h/.cpp

Object file name suffix

Files that somehow depend, directly or indirectly, on the type of compression scheme used (IPHC, CRTP, ROHC) are classified as header compression dependent, and will have an object file name ending with _IPHC, _CRTP or _ROHC. All other files are just given the default file extension (.o). This way, not all files need to be recompiled when alternating compilation of HC-Sim GUI with different compression schemes. This requires keeping track (in the makefile) of all files that need to know the compression scheme at compile time.

File structure

The HC-Sim GUI directory is part of the HC-Sim source file tree, as it is an extension to HC-Sim. Inside hcsim/gui there are separate directories for source files, dependency files, object files, related utilities and documentation.

Code documentation

The Doxygen [18] C++ documenter was used to generate an API from the source code itself. Not only does it provide a clear view of the functionality, but also a clear graphical representation of class hierarchies. Since HC-Sim is linked with IPHC, CRTP or ROHC, each of these is treated as a separate system. The code documentation for HC-Sim GUI is thus generated for each of these header compression schemes individually.
Review of HC-Sim GUI

This section contains my own evaluation of the HC-Sim GUI project. To begin with, what I feel were the successes and drawbacks of the project are listed, followed by some aspects of the project that I still feel reflect uncertainties. Finally, a list of ideas for future functionality of HC-Sim GUI is also provided.

Successes

Light integration

The general idea of a "light" form of integration with the original system turned out very well. HC-Sim GUI makes use of existing data structures and information of HC-Sim to produce a rich graphical presentation, without hardly any code needing to be added to the original program. HC-Sim GUI is also completely detachable from HC-Sim through the C pre-processor macro `USE_HCSIM_GUI`.

Expandability

The design of HC-Sim GUI makes use of many small parts forming a whole. Each part has a well-defined class structure and interface towards interacting components. The areas that will probably be most subject to future expansion are graphs and numerical statistics, which both have a clear hierarchical structure and base classes providing much of the functionality.

Compatibility with HC-Sim

When operating in two-machine mode, either HC-Sim or HC-Sim GUI can be used on either side. The operation of the program is not affected by the introduction of the GUI, which uses the exact same test case scenarios and configurations. The main difference, of course, is than the command-line interface has been replaced by a graphical user interface.

Presentation of data

Although the graphical presentation provided by HC-Sim GUI is by no means complete, it still exceeds the data presentation capabilities of the original system by many times. Its main benefits are the capability to present much data at once and allowing the user to focus on the information that he wants.

Control

Claiming that more settings means happier users is not always justified. Nevertheless, the user does have the ability to control several aspects of the simulation flow, data acquisition and presentation. Allowing the user to adapt the program to his needs is what makes a good user interface.

Drawbacks

Polling

The general idea behind using polling to check whether the simulation status has been changed was to provide a simple implementation that would not add much code to HC-Sim. Although this is true of polling, the general idea that a function call be made each time an event is carried out in HC-Sim, and between each reading of data from the network, may affect the performance of the program more than necessary.
It would perhaps have been better to use some sort of thread-safe object that is signaled when the simulation is to be paused or terminated. This object should reside on the HC-Sim side and be able to be checked without the need for any function call.

**More preprocessor macros**

HC-Sim already has quite a number of C pre-processor macro constants controlling which code to compile in different configurations. This "macro jungle" has unfortunately been made denser by the additions of USE_HCSIM_GUI and EFFABORT_cplusplus_exceptions to the HC-Sim code.

**No end-user tests**

As mentioned before (see Usability, page 14), human-computer interaction was never the primary focus of HC-Sim GUI. In spite of this, a few iterations in which end-users would try the program, and then the necessary updates be made, would have been useful for verifying and assuring the overall usability of HC-Sim GUI. Unfortunately, in the limited time scope of this project, no organized tests of this kind were performed, with the exception of a few minor demonstrations during the development process.

**Uncertainties**

**Need for structural clarification**

As I myself have developed the GUI part of HC-Sim GUI, I have no trouble distinguishing what belongs to the HC-Sim side and the HC-Sim GUI side. Whether or not this distinction will be as clear to future developers of the program remains an uncertainty. How does other developers perceive that the design, implementation and file structure highlight this? Could it be improved?

**Difficulties in distinguishing between statistical differences**

The statistical difference that is needed in order to create plot data is calculated by subtracting the values of the last callback statistics instance. This seems intuitive because we then know what has happened since the last callback event, but it is not logical in the sense that the last callback could have been made for any type of event. There is no real use in knowing the difference in time between a header compression event and the event before, if the event before represents a header request. Some types of information stored in the statistical difference instance should instead reflect what has happened since the last event of the same kind. Simulation time is one such type of information – are there others? The problem was handled by letting the plot data manager calculate the actual time between two related events. That solution feels a bit backwards, and should not be considered as final. Other developers could examine whether a new strategy for calculating statistical difference is needed in order to avoid confusion in this area.
Suggestions for future functionality

More graphs

The graph classes were designed specifically to facilitate implementation of new graphs. Because all graphs are placed in a scroll window, there is no physical limitation of the number of graphs that HC-Sim GUI can contain. Some interesting ideas could be graphs covering the degree of packet loss, IP header request traffic and IP header context refreshes.

Saving and loading simulation data

Saving simulation plot data and numerical data for re-loading would enable graphical comparisons of simulations. In order to achieve this, a separate HCSimStatisticsWindow object would be required for each simulation. The data could also be used to make automated comparisons between simulations, in order to measure deviations and performance as parameters and traffic conditions change.

More detailed simulation data

All HC-Sim simulation scenario details should be able to be made visible in HC-Sim GUI, such as for instance "inserted a delay of 5 ms on Channel A". Much of this information is internal to HC-Sim and would probably be of most use to developers of HC-Sim and header compression products. The information is already available in the test file of a simulation, so the test file parser could be expanded to convey this information to the GUI in order to convert it into a more human-readable form. For instance, the user could see a timeline of the simulation and see when and what the next simulation event will be.

Error codes

Instead of identifying errors solely by error messages embedded in HC-Sim, each error could be identified by an error code. If HC-Sim or HC-Sim GUI were to be made to support other languages that English, having well-defined codes instead of raw text embedded in the source code would simplify the internationalization process.

Parallel simulations

Several simulations at one time in different threads is an interesting thing to ponder on. Just like in the case of simulation data being loaded, this would require one HCSimStatisticsWindow object per simulation. Having parallel simulations would certainly make inter-simulation data comparisons more interesting. In the future, this idea could also be expanded to cover simultaneous simulations with different compression schemes. During customer demonstrations, the spectators could then actually view the simulations live, and see the differences between no compression, IPHC, CRTP and ROHC compression.

In HC-Sim, this would require a separate thread for each simulation, which is not possible in the current version. Another obstacle is that HC-Sim does not support having more than one compression scheme at once. We will return to this discussion when analyzing how HC-Sim could be re-engineered.

Distributed applications

Potential customers of Effnet header compression products could evaluate the products without Effnet needing to deliver HC-Sim with the integrated header compression product to them. One way to achieve this would be for Effnet to distribute software to present the results of a simulation to the customers, and let the actual simulation be run from an application server. HC-Sim GUI has already made a separation of the simulator from the
user interface a reality, but here we want to take it one step further. The simulator and the user interface are no longer part of the same program, but are two programs that communicate with each other over the Internet.

Making distributed applications would require a large amount of work, but some of the structure invented for HC-Sim GUI could be re-used. The callback interface was invented for the purpose of passing events from the simulator to the user interface, and the same principle could be applied in a distributed version of HC-sim GUI (see Figure 45, and compare with Figure 38). Should the idea to poll the user interface to obtain the simulation status continue to be used, the implementation should limit function calls of this type to the local function implementations of the application bridge, since polling can not be performed over the network. The remote application could instead send a message to HC-Sim (via a distributed version of the HC-Sim control interface) whenever the simulation status is changed.

Figure 45. Flow of callbacks in a distributed version of HC-Sim GUI.
Plan for re-engineering

Purpose

In this section we will examine how HC-Sim could be re-designed with the aid of object-oriented thinking to produce a system that has the potential to better meet with future requirements. It is important to stress that this plan for re-engineering does not aim at instructing other developers of HC-Sim that this must be done, and done precisely this way. It is more to be viewed as a collection of hints and guidelines, should a complete re-engineering of HC-Sim become a reality in the future. In this process we assume that HC-Sim GUI is a readily designed and implemented as part of the system, but even though we will consider several HC-Sim GUI aspects in the process it should be made clear that the system to be re-designed is HC-Sim only.

What future requirements?

What do we mean by future requirements? The system as it exists today fulfills its duties and functional requirements, and it has even been demonstrated in this project that the original system can be expanded and integrated with a graphical user interface with better data presentation and user interaction capabilities.

The requirements we are referring to do not really exist. They represent what is likely to be expected from the system if HC-Sim continues to grow and be used for advanced header compression testing and customer demonstrations for some time to come. It is what the users and developers may want to get out of the system that will be examined in the requirements section.

Why object-orientation?

Re-designing the system to be object-oriented should not be done merely for the sake of the concept or "hype" of object-orientation. The introduction of classes and objects in the system must be motivated by a clear purpose and well-defined goals, and not just the phrase “why not?”. However, as the purpose of the process is just to examine and speculate on how things could be done differently, we are free to make whatever suggestions we want. In the analysis of HC-Sim we will try to identify potential problems and drawbacks of function-based design solutions, and compare these to corresponding solutions that use object-oriented techniques.

The idea that object-orientation can facilitate code re-use, good program structure and well-defined relationships is widely known among developers. The question is just to what extent this could (and should) be applied to HC-Sim, and whether or not the gain is really worth the bother. The design suggestions made in this section of the project are intended to serve as examples of what object-orientation could do for HC-Sim, and not as rock hard blueprints. By studying them, the reader can make up his own mind on the whole idea. That object-orientation is worth considering is a precondition for this whole section. Whether or not it is worth making a reality is a question left unanswered until the review at the end of this section.
Requirements
The requirements presented here are all hypothetical *extensions* to the requirements of the original system. They represent some of what users and developers may want to do with HC-Sim and HC-Sim GUI that is made difficult or seems impossible with the current design of the mandatory system.

Non-functional requirements
HC-Sim can be used to make automatic comparisons of performance for different compression schemes, used under the same or different simulation circumstances.

Functional requirements
Multiple simulations
HC-Sim supports the ability to run several individual simulations sequentially or in parallel.

Simulation configurations
Each simulation can have an individual configuration regarding compression scheme, network support and other factors.

Pseudo requirements
Structure
The general design and architecture of HC-Sim are reflected in the implementation of the program in such a way that the relationship between code and design is clear and straightforward.
Analysis

Analyzing the requirements

The difference between the requirements of HC-Sim GUI and our new hypothetical requirements of HC-Sim is that the former were essential to the functionality and usability of the new program, while the latter aim at broadening our minds regarding the design, implementation and new possibilities for use of the original system. The new requirements need not all be met in order for HC-Sim to be a usable program, because it already is. What this section shows is rather how the system could benefit from an object-oriented design, and making some of the new requirements feasible when they previously were not. Even though some of our stated new requirements will not become necessary to meet with in the future, other requirements are bound to emerge, to which this analysis might apply as well.

Tasks

The details regarding the design and implementation of HC-Sim must be clarified in order for a re-design evaluation to take place. During the course of the analysis, some short suggestions for improvement and changes may be made. In addition, whenever an area applies to our new requirements, a more detailed solution will be presented in the Re-design section (page 74). To summarize, our tasks in this section are:

- Examine the current design and implementation of HC-Sim.
- Identify factors that may have a negative effect on the design and implementation.
- Verify to what extent the design and implementation of the original system facilitate or contrast with the new requirements.

Design analysis

The building blocks of HC-Sim

Because HC-Sim is implemented in a function-based language, its structure is not based on classes but rather on functionality. The program is divided into several building blocks, each of which is realized by functions and data available in one or several source files per building block. In Figure 46, we see a general overview of the building blocks [11](v), with the lines between them signifying their relationships.
Figure 46. The block structure of HC-Sim.

Each component of Figure 46 is briefly explained in Table 11 below.

Table 11. The main components of HC-Sim and their purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>Perform configuration of HC-Sim. Parse parameters and build the simulator from the other components.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parser</td>
<td>Parse test file. Establish flows, configuration and actions for the simulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td>Control simulation. Manage time, event list, control the execution of events and perform logging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>Generate data for the simulation. Create IP headers from specification or receive external traffic, handle events to modify flows and generator states.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressor</td>
<td>Compress IP headers. Manage statistics storage and retrieval, handle events related to compression, pass on compressed packets to channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>Connect compressor and decompressor. Simulate link conditions such as delay, packet loss and bit errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompressor</td>
<td>Decompress IP headers. Manage statistics storage and retrieval, handle events related to compression, pass on decompressed packets to sink.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sink</td>
<td>Receive decompressed packets from decompressor. Check for packet correctness, pass on to external destination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Provide connections for simulator. Send and receive HC-Sim traffic as well as external traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playback</td>
<td>Replace generator when re-running simulation from log file. One HC-DC pair, no channels or network.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Component boundaries and relationships

Clearly there are many relationships between the components of HC-Sim that play important roles in the simulator. One great drawback of a function-based implementation is that these relationships are hard to make apparent in the actual source code. This is partly caused by the lack of clear boundaries of the components in the block structure, which makes it difficult to see the great picture. The visual design of the general structure of HC-Sim should be taken one step further, and include more details on how each component works together with its sub-components. This would require more detailed building blocks, whose boundaries and relationships must also be stressed in the implementation.

The difficulty in representing this information in a function-based design lies in the lack of support for defining clear data structures whose applicable functionality and relationships can then be easily described in the source code. The source files themselves could of course be used to represent the components and their sub-components, but the restrictions acting upon each component are more difficult to stress. The design of HC-Sim does not (and should not) indicate what source file names to use or what source files may be related to other source files, making the jump from design to implementation non-trivial. This increases the risk of producing code that is not intuitively connected to the original design.

An object-oriented design could help solving these problems. One class, which uses its associations with other classes to help achieve its goals, could represent each building block. The logical relationship between object-oriented design with class diagrams and implementation with actual classes helps to reduce the gap between design and implementation.

One simulator, several simulations

The original design of HC-Sim explains the relationships between the components of the simulator. Each simulation could be looked upon as either the work performed by the simulator, or as one instance of the simulator. Each component of HC-Sim could then be looked upon not only as a part of the simulator, but also as an object that is associated with a simulation.

The whole idea of simulator multiplicity is not supported in the design of HC-Sim, due to the fact that it there was no need to be able to produce more than one simulation at a time in the program. Should this requirement be introduced, the design and implementation need to face the problem of making each component not an absolute entity, but rather a blueprint for providing data and functionality for several different simulation instances. With a good object-oriented design, the implementation is trivial. With a function-based design, implementation would introduce complexity well beyond the scope of this project.
Code analysis

Inhibiting HC-Sim factors

HC-Sim is the product of several years of header compression development. Whenever the requirements of the simulation environment have changed, the code has been updated to meet with them. The development process has been non-linear and has involved several people, each of which has made changes, bug fixes and additions on the fly from time to time. Even though a general and clear project plan exists, these continuous updates are bound to make the actual source code a less perfect reflection of the original design specification. Below are some of the problems that arise because of this development strategy.

Multiplicity means more visible source code

The three header compression library products supported by HC-Sim all have their similarities and differences. Their general purpose is basically the same, but their implementations differ in many ways. To integrate HC-Sim with these libraries, glue code for a subset of the library interface functions is provided for each of the products. If only this intermediate interface approach were used, the differences between the libraries could be encapsulated and partly hidden from the HC-Sim developers. Unfortunately, this encapsulation only applies to some of the library functions (compression, decompression). Other invocations are exposed directly in the source code (see There can be only one on page 74).

HC-Sim uses different code to achieve the same task, depending on which of the three header compression libraries is used. This yields unnecessary quantities of code inside the calling function that may distract the programmer from the real purpose, not to mention the increasing amount of work to do when a library function invocation must be altered. What could be done once, if all functionality were encapsulated, must now be made thrice – for IPHC, CRTP and for ROHC. This also increases the probability of introducing bugs and errors whenever a change must be made.

C-macro jungle

In general, flexible software is highly desirable. The traditional C-programming way of using different code under different circumstances is to use pre-processor macros, defined in the source code or at compile time. Even though the advantages of using this approach may be many, there are also drawbacks to consider. The source code itself becomes harder to interpret. Some of the code might only apply when a certain macro has been defined, and some macros may depend on other macros.

Using too much of this seemingly harmless way of providing code flexibility can make the code impossible to interpret and the process of execution harder to predict. Pre-processor macros are used in vast quantities in HC-Sim, mostly to enable support for the different products and to build the simulator under different platforms and with different network resources. In fact, it can safely be assumed that many of the legal combinations of all these macro constants have never even been tried.

Duplication

As HC-Sim was expanded from being a simulator for ROHC testing to also include support for IPHC and CRTP, some code was produced in light of the copy-and-paste philosophy. Also, the Makefiles for building HC-Sim with IPHC, CRTP and ROHC are practically identical. It could be argued that these duplications were the unavoidable result of time pressure and the need to keep the implementation of the three products independent of one another. Saving time by duplicating code, however, almost always backfires eventually.
This is referred to by Hunt and Thomas (2000) as "The evils of duplication" [4](vi):

"Every piece of knowledge must have a single, unambiguous, authoritative representation within a system."

This is referred to as the principle called DRY – Don’t Repeat Yourself.

A solution to the Makefile problem could be to generate them from a template file. In the code, making better use of common functionality and creating more general code might add to the development process and running time of the program slightly, but would help to make the code shorter, more readable, more well-tested and easier to develop further.

Large functions

For any piece of code to be easily readable, it should not be too long. Many event-handling functions in HC-Sim use nested switch statements to handle events according to event code, source and destination. As the number of cases increases, so does the length of the function. As a result of this, many functions have a length spanning over hundreds of lines of code. It could of course be argued that this approach might increase the speed of the program. So why not place the whole program in one big function? The reason is of course that no single person could read or understand such quantities of code. Dividing the job between small help functions would help to make the code much more easy to follow. Besides, making small and frequently used functions inline if using C++ or macro functions in C could easily solve the performance issue.

Structural unpredictability

The event chain of a simulation consists of a queue of events, where each event has an identifier and a pointer to a function to handle the event. Though function pointers are efficient and flexible, they can make following the chain of execution quite impossible. Furthermore, many event-handling functions reside in source files that are not logically associated with the event to be handled. For instance, the event handling functions handle_channel and handle_sink do not reside in the source files channel.c or sinker.c as one might think, but in build.c, probably just because that is where the initialization is made.

The broken window syndrome

Generally speaking, if one programmer of some project starts to implement functionality without much consideration of one or some of the problems considered above, other developers involved in this project might be inclined to do the same. What started out as one temporary solution to a problem (commonly known as an "ugly hack") can easily spread to become an accepted and frequently used way of dealing with similar problems. This phenomenon is known as the broken window theory [4](vii), and can be shortly described as a "well, if that poor solution was fine there, I might as well do the same here"-mentality.

In the case of HC-Sim, this theory seems to apply to the copy-and-paste solution to the problem of making the simulator support three different compression schemes. Let us say a HC-Sim developer named Bill sees that in another function, his colleague Ted made things work for CRTP by copying the code of IPHC and changing a few parameters. Even though Bill really knows better, he figures that “if Ted did it that way, why shouldn’t I do too”?

Considering the quantity of code in HC-Sim to which the previously named problems apply, it is not unlikely that the reality of this theory has taken its toll on the development process of HC-Sim.

16 The longest function I found used 660 lines of code
Re-design
Strategy

In this section we will investigate further what characteristics of HC-Sim need to be altered in order to meet with the new requirements. The re-design includes producing more detailed views of HC-Sim, which can then be contrasted with concrete suggestions, which in general can be seen as a corresponding object-oriented solution.

For anyone who is familiar with object-oriented design, the solutions should appear straightforward or even trivial. This is a conscious choice, which aims at showing that for the problems we have identified, an object-oriented way of thinking produces simple and manageable solutions. It is important to notice, however, that the fabricated nature of some of the new requirements helps to make them suitable for the object-oriented way of thinking.

There can be only one

HC-Sim can be compiled with any of the three compression schemes IPHC, CRTP and ROHC, depending on which of the Effnet products that is to be tested. For development purposes, the ability to compare performance between the products under certain conditions is greatly inhibited by the fact that only one of these compression schemes can be used with HC-Sim at a time. In order to make inter-compression scheme comparisons, one would need a compiled version of HC-Sim for each compression scheme, run them with the same input data and compare the output. This includes making the same setup\textsuperscript{17} several times for each simulation, a task that is likely to become most tedious after a few iterations. A simulator that can handle all three compression schemes, and which allows the user to choose which scheme to use for each simulation, would eliminate this problem. This is not possible the way HC-Sim is currently designed\textsuperscript{18}.

HC-Sim relies on the macro constants \texttt{USE\_IPHC}, \texttt{USE\_CRTP} and \texttt{USE\_ROHC}, defined at compile time, to separate code that is specific to each compression scheme. Under the assumption that only one of these is defined, the implementation contains several properties that complicate a migration to a version that would support more than one compression scheme. The tendency to put compression scheme specific code directly in various places of the HC-Sim code, which is demonstrated in the example below, is one of these properties.

\textit{example – excerpt from function in file build.c}:

```c
void build_defaults(void) {
    ---
    #ifdef USE_ROHC
    crc_init();
    #endif
    ---
    #if defined (USE_IPHC) || defined (USE_CRTP)
    hc_impl_init(NODE_HC_A, 1);
    hc_impl_init(NODE_HC_B, 2);
    set_context(NODE_DC_B, get_context(NODE_HC_A));
    set_context(NODE_DC_A, get_context(NODE_HC_B));
    set_params(NODE_DC_B, get_params(NODE_HC_A));
    set_params(NODE_DC_A, get_params(NODE_HC_B));
    #ifdef USE_IPHC
    iphc_node_init(get_context(NODE_HC_A), get_params(NODE_HC_A), 1);
    ---
    #endif
    #endif
}
```

\textsuperscript{17}More precisely, making the setup for each scheme that best corresponds to the setup for the others.

\textsuperscript{18}The reason why HC-Sim was not designed to make this a possibility is that HC-Sim generally comes delivered to customers together with only one of the three separate products.
Using conditional C-preprocessor statements this way does allow the compression scheme specific code to be placed in the same place for all three compression schemes, but at the expense of making the code structure more difficult to interpret. One reason for wanting to place all this code in the same place could be to get a good overview of the differences in implementation for the three compression schemes. This purpose is swiftly defeated by the intricacies of the code itself. Another reason might be to keep all code performing the same function in one place. This purpose is an illusion, because:

a) The three compression schemes are not equal. Grouping code according to functionality requires that the function of each piece of code is comparable between and applicable to all three compression schemes, which it most often is not.

b) The code does not necessarily perform the same function for the three compression schemes. Each piece of functionality could result in different side effects, in which case the pre- and post-conditions for the function differ between the schemes.

Compile-time polymorphism

With object-oriented languages, polymorphism is achieved through inheritance and function overloading. In HC-Sim, we can talk about compile-time polymorphism: each compression dependent function results in different code being executed depending on which compression scheme is defined at compile time. This C pre-processor magic can even be extended to apply to types as well. For instance, some data structures in HC-Sim specific to compression schemes have been assigned the same type name (see code excerpts below).

excerpt from file crtp_compressor.h):
typedef struct crtpStat hc_statistics_t;

excerpt from file iphc_compressor.h):
typedef struct iphcStat hc_statistics_t;

The idea is to make code where these structs are used apply to more than one compression scheme. Although the idea looks promising, it may be difficult as well as dangerous to make use of such definitions in a program. It is likely that it becomes unclear to the programmer which data structure is being referred to. Two types that have completely different definitions, except perhaps semantically, should not be given the same name. At the very least it would become rather confusing, should the ability to allow more than one compression scheme be introduced in HC-Sim.
Run-time polymorphism

With the introduction of classes and inheritance comes the ability to represent structures that have common data and/or functionality with class hierarchies. The general idea is that entities that take on multiple forms are defined by a base class, which is typically non-instantiable (abstract), and then sub-classes define each specific sub-entity. In the case of HC-Sim, this structure applies to the relationship between an abstract component and the corresponding compression scheme specific component class for IPHC, CRTP and ROHC (see Figure 47).

![Diagram of compression scheme specific component types with inheritance.]

Figure 47. Representing compression scheme specific component types with inheritance.

Component factory

A commonly used design pattern known as abstract factory [1](viii) could make the creation of each component trivial. While mostly used for encapsulating platforms, this type of design could instead encapsulate each of our three compression schemes. Each simulation instance has a factory that produces the compression scheme specific components needed (see Figure 48).

![Diagram of an abstract factory encapsulating the compression scheme used by HC-Sim.]

Figure 48. An abstract factory encapsulates the compression scheme used by HC-Sim.

19 ROHC compression is not included in the figure for the sake of visual simplicity only.
Alternatively, if the code for more than one compression scheme, but not for all, is the same for a certain component, then that code could be placed in a default component class, from which the concrete component classes with common code would inherit. The class encapsulation provided by the factory could also be made to include all components, not just the ones that are compression scheme specific. The implementation of the components and the differences between the compression schemes would then appear invisible to the rest of the program.

**Keeping the products separated**

The reason for making HC-Sim compile with only one of the header compression libraries is of course that each library is marketed as one product. A buyer evaluating IPHC should not have to ponder on CRTP specific issues when trying to use the simulator shipped with the IPHC implementation that he has paid for. But making HC-Sim compile with only one of the libraries and allowing HC-Sim to *support* several libraries are not mutually exclusive. The idea of "compiling out" a compression scheme could still apply, which is demonstrated in the C++ code example below.

*example – compiling out compression schemes in an abstract factory class:*

```cpp
AbstractHCSimFactory* createFactory(compression_scheme_t type) throw (UnsupportedCompressionScheme) {
    if(type == COMPRESSION_SCHEME_IPHC) {
        #if defined USE_IPHC
            return new IPHCFactory();
        #endif
    } else if(type == COMPRESSION_SCHEME_CRTP) {
        #if defined USE_CRTP
            return new CRTPFactory();
        #endif
    } else if(type == COMPRESSION_SCHEME_ROHC) {
        #if defined USE_ROHC
            return new ROHCFactory();
        #endif
    }
    throw UnsupportedCompressionScheme(type);
}
```
Clarification of structures and relationships

Motivation

In the Design analysis section we touched on the subject of the limitations of function-based designs regarding clarification of structure and relationships between components. We also claimed that a corresponding object-oriented solution would produce a clearer design, to which the corresponding implementation would be more well-related, and hence making the program easier to understand and develop. Though not always true, this was a bold statement, which must be justified. In this section, we aim at doing just that.

Introducing classes into HC-Sim

In Figure 49 we see the structural relationship between the simulator components of HC-Sim clarified in a UML class diagram. Comparing it with the block structure of Figure 46 leaves no doubt that it gives us a better view of the roles of and relationships between the individual components. We see for instance that a simulation is indirectly composed of events, which relate all parts of the simulator to each other. One property that would be difficult to stress in the previous model has also been highlighted - the fact that certain components are in fact simulation event handlers, which is stressed by extending the HCSimEventHandler class.

Figure 49. The structural relationship between the simulator components of HC-Sim.
Migration to a classful implementation

The amount of work needed to convert the current C-implementation of HC-Sim into, by suggestion, a C++ implementation may seem like a time-consuming process. The idea of for instance representing each component with an object associated with a simulation would require much re-writing, particularly to solve the problem of handling access to the required objects for each component. A complete migration to object-orientation may indeed seem to be such a heavyweight project that the developers of HC-Sim feel reluctant at the mere thought of it. The way to solve this dilemma is taking small steps:

A first step could be to simply put the C-code of each component in a corresponding C++ class using only static declarations of functions and variables. This would allow the current HC-Sim architecture to remain virtually unchanged, while gaining great structural benefits. Each class-external function invocation must now be preceded by the class name (unless the class scope is exposed), as in for instance Network::init(). This will make the code easier to read and slightly increase the level of encapsulation, by changing global functions into public class functions.

A second step could be to implement the class hierarchy for each abstract component class, and make all functions and data therein non-static. The object for each simulation could for instance be created and initialized by the Simulation class, which ties all the knots together by providing access to all the component objects in the program.

A third step could be to eliminate static component maintenance, and instead let each class reflect its relationships to its neighboring components through aggregation.

Each of these steps would produce a functional system whose structure differs little from the previous step, thereby reducing the risk of the object-oriented version of HC-Sim becoming an abandoned work in progress.

---

20 By static we refer to the C++ word meaning "none-instance-related", and not to the C equivalence meaning "non-global" (which by the way corresponds to a C++ empty namespace).
Multiple simulations

Once the object-oriented model has been fully applied to HC-Sim, the rigid entity previously referred to as "the simulator" is no more. In the One simulator, several simulations section we stated that a simulation is simply an instance of a simulator, which is a natural consequence of an object-oriented design. This fact is also depicted in Figure 49. For each Simulation instance we instantiate, instances of the associated component classes are created as well. This new trait allows for all kinds of usage of the program that was previously not possible or seemed difficult to implement. Below we will examine how this trait could be applied to our imposed requirements of sequential and parallel simulations. We also evaluate the gain, the effort, and to what extent this was feasible with the original design.

Sequential simulations

Imagine a scenario where a user wishes to run 100 simulations, each with a slightly different simulation setup. The old way to do this would be to configure HC-Sim, run the program, wait for it to finish and save the result. Then one would change the configuration and do the whole thing over, and over again. Should this type of usage become more than a one-time event, then the program should make this easier and quicker by at least providing a partial automization of the process, since the user most likely would become tired and bored of doing the same thing several times.

Achieving sequentiality

The nature of sequentiality makes the process rather intuitive. For each simulation configuration that the user provides, a Simulation instance is created and placed in a queue. When the user has finished adding simulations, he tells HC-Sim to start running them. The program then successively dequeues the first simulation, runs it and adds it to a list of finished simulations for later data processing. This is repeated until the simulation queue is empty. Alternatively, the simulation output could simply be saved directly and the finished instance discarded. The process is described in Figure 50.

Queued simulations Running simulations Finished simulations

Sim4 Sim3 Sim1
Sim5 Sim2
Sim6 Output

Figure 50. Running queued sequential simulations in HC-Sim.

Evaluation

The process of queuing and sequentially executing simulations could just as well be accomplished by reading simulation configurations from a file representation, which would be perfectly feasible with the current version of HC-Sim. Unless there is some specific purpose of keeping finished simulation objects in memory, sequential simulations alone would not even be reason enough to make a classful design of HC-Sim.

Parallel simulations

If we imagine a situation where a visual comparison of different simulations is required, then there are several ways in which this could be achieved. The simulations could either be run in advance, and their output saved so that the data could be interpreted later, or the simulations could run in parallel, allowing the user to view the simulation turnout as it is being produced.
If an external packet generator, such as a videoconference application, produces the simulation data, then a parallel solution is particularly interesting, as it would also enable detailed comparisons of performance for a live packet stream. Parallel simulations are worth looking into for several reasons, utilization of CPU time during blocking I/O operations probably being one of the most beneficial.

Despite the potential of a version of HC-Sim that supports parallel simulations, there are of course a great many design and implementation traits that need changing in order to realize it. To even consider embarking on such a quest, a brief analysis of parallelism and its relationship to HC-Sim must first be provided.

Achieving sequential parallelism

Giving each simulation a slice of scheduled time and then sequentially letting them take turns in running may achieve parallelism in one sense. The scheduling could be handled globally by a scheduling function, and locally for each simulation in the event loop function of HC-Sim. Each simulation would need to check how much time it has left to run at each event, and only handle the next event if there is enough time left.

This would require a considerable amount of work to implement in HC-Sim, and it would still not take advantage of all the benefits that a thread-based parallel implementation could bring. Still, the implementation would be greatly simplified by the fact that one could make sure that not more than one simulation is at a certain point of execution in the program at once, thus eliminating the need for synchronized access to shared data.

Achieving "true" parallelism

While most modern programming languages provide means of creating multi-threaded programs (such as Pthreads, Java threads), there is no guarantee that the threads will actually be run in parallel in the true sense of the word, due to limitations of the programming language, the underlying platform or the hardware. So, when speaking of "true" parallelism, what is meant is rather making use of the available mechanisms for using multiple threads, which this at least enables the possibility of having threads of execution running in parallel. At the very least, this will save us the trouble of controlling thread scheduling and sharing of CPU time by for instance allowing other threads to run while others are blocking on I/O tasks.

Multi-threaded design and implementation

Object management

Assuming that we use one thread for each simulation, and that each simulation is defined as an instance of the classful model defined in Figure 49, then each simulation is solely responsible for its own flows of events and data streams. The advantage of the one-instance-per-simulation plan is that it allows each individual simulation to manage its memory individually, and should not need to share resources with other threads. The only point of interaction between the threads should be a statistics manager that collects data from the different threads.

Effnet header compression libraries

The header compression libraries support several packet flows at once. If each simulation defines precisely one flow, then the functionality of the libraries themselves does not inhibit the possibility of introducing parallelism into HC-Sim. What poses a problem is mainly synchronization of library calls and memory management. The memory management responsibilities of each simulation not only cover HC-Sim itself, but also the header compression library used. This issue has already been addressed in HC-Sim, and its emigration to a per-simulation instance solution should become a natural consequence of the introduction of object-orientation into HC-Sim.
Synchronization of library calls is required, however. The thread synchronization must be done in the code of HC-Sim, and not in the libraries themselves, in order to keep the libraries independent of HC-Sim and fully functional as individual products. A major difficulty with this solution is deciding whether functions that relate to others need synchronized access. As the libraries are under constant development, predicting the precise flow of function calls may prove difficult, and so the only safe solution would be to enforce mutual exclusion of all header compression library function calls. As a consequence of this, the header compression library may prove to be a serious bottleneck.

**Timely issues**

A simulation in HC-Sim can run in simulated time or in real-time. For the purpose of comparing simulation details, simulated time is both simpler and more intuitive. The actual time spent by each simulation becomes less important, and even though for instance some thread spends a long time being idle because it is waiting for I/O tasks to finish, its simulated run-time will not be affected. If simulated time is used in HC-Sim, we could schedule the run-time of the threads based on this time value, allowing the user to compare the progress of the simulations on the fly.

If we consider using several threads in a real-time simulation, comparing compression performance in time may be treacherous. As thread scheduling, mutual exclusion and unfair algorithms giving some threads more time to run than others consume much time, the chance of a thread missing its deadlines increases. This could lead to packets being dropped when they should not have been (as far as the simulation scenario is concerned), which in turn adds more unfavorable conditions to the simulation and in the end produce a poor simulation turnout. Drawing any conclusions regarding the performance of a compression scheme based on this type of promiscuous data is not recommended. This defeats the purpose of having a simulation tool in the first place.

**Evaluation**

It comes as no surprise that even though the potential of parallel simulations is great, it is much more complicated than sequential processing. On the whole, the concept of parallel simulations imposes requirements on the amount of memory used, adds considerable amounts of complexity to the code and threatens to undermine the simulator as a functioning tool under certain conditions. Also, it may be difficult to control the flow of packets, as simulations may be further limited by the capabilities of the network. If the network only supports a handful of traffic flows at a time, it is likely to decrease the level of parallelism. If multiple thread simulations were to be introduced in HC-Sim, they should be restricted to running in simulated time and using only a few packet flows at a time.
Review of the re-engineering

A classful implementation of HC-Sim might seem deterring and bogus to the development team behind HC-Sim, who have strived towards producing a fast and powerful simulator to do the libraries to be tested justice. But remember that HC-Sim is only a simulation tool. No matter if the simulator itself becomes slightly slower by the introduction of classes, the header compression libraries themselves remain intact and optimized. What output the simulator produces should not be dependent on the speed of the simulator itself to begin with, as that only discourages developers from appending functionality that would add to the richness of the simulator’s capabilities.

From our brief evaluations of multiple simulations we may conclude that sequential simulations does not require an object-oriented version of HC-Sim, and that the complexity added by parallel simulations is likely to prevent it from ever becoming a reality. Hence, the real gain of and motivation for introducing classes in HC-Sim is improvement of structure. The future may bring many things. Effnet may decide that HC-Sim needs to expanded, for instance because a new header compression product emerges. And if new people were to enter the development process, could not the time wasted on their exploring the intricies of the code structures be better spent? An improved design of the system is a requirement for these changes to able to take place.

To summarize, the gain in structure to the design and the implementation alone would be reason enough to take a classful version of HC-Sim under consideration. The motivation for an fully object-oriented version of the program is primarily instance related memory management and decreasing of static relations between sub-components, and this may be left as the next goal to strive towards, once a classful model has been applied.
Conclusions of the project

Mixing the object-oriented graphical design with the function-based design of the original simulator required a few compromises. Most of these involved making a function-based solution in order to merge these two parts into a whole, which unfortunately limits the use of object-oriented design techniques. My reluctance to the static nature of the interaction between the graphical user interface and the simulator was however subdued by the fact that the design limited this interaction to a single point – the implementation of the call-back interface. Much time was spent designing this component in order to achieve the goals of flexibility and ease of further development and expansion.

I feel that the requirements specified at the beginning of the project were met with great success, but as these requirements aimed more at setting up guidelines in terms of restrictions for the project than specifying requirements on the software design, the project itself defined its own goals based on these. It is fulfillment of these goals that need to be evaluated in order to gain a measure of the successes and drawbacks of the project as a whole. And as most of them were both bold and optimistic, their fulfillment should not be regarded as an absolute in order for the project to be deemed a success or failure, but more like aiming at the stars in order to get half way.

To summarize the stated goals, I wanted to produce a system that was powerful, flexible and easy to develop further. Not many programs in the world can honestly be said to fulfill all of these requirements – at least not to everyone. It is a subjective matter, which the developers of HC-Sim will become well aware of when they try to enter the world of HC-Sim GUI. And all of them are not likely to agree that these goals have been met with. Objectively speaking, is the new system easy to develop further? Judging from the amount of relevant code documentation, carefully engineered design and code structure, I think it is.

One of the things I was worried about from the start was the difficulty in meeting with all the requirements of the new system while still maintaining the restrained approach towards the re-engineering of HC-Sim. The process worked well beyond my expectations on this way of working. Considering the richness in functionality of the new system, and the low amount of additions and changes to the code of HC-Sim that made this possible, the concept of restrained re-engineering seems to be a winner in this case.
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**Design och Implementering av Demoplattform för IP-huvudkomprimering**

**Inledning**

Arbetet går ut på att designa och implementera ett grafiskt gränssnitt till Effnets simuleringsverktyg *HC-Sim* för olika varianter av IP-huvudkomprimering (ROHC [1], IPHC [2] och CRTP [3]).

Målet är att få fram en prototyp till en enhetlig demoplattform för produkterna. Arbetet kommer att innebära studier och inlärning på produkterna för att komma med ett förslag på vilka data som är intressanta att presentera i gränssnittet. Intressanta funktioner hos prototypen kan vara:

- Möjlighet att köra på en eller flera datorer som ett lager ovanpå HC-Sim.
- Statistik över antal tappade paket, kompressionsgrad etc.
- Möjlighet att kunna köras tillsammans med standard konferensapplikation, till exempel *Gnome meeting*. Eventuellt låta applikation och HC-Sim kunna köras på samma dator och kommunicera med annat par av applikation – HC-Sim (two-machine mode). Nuvarande implementation kräver att applikation/HC-Sim körs på olika datorer, vilket ger totalt 4 datorer.

**Målgrupp**

HC-Sim är ett verktyg som används både internt av Effnets utvecklare och externt av Effnets kunder i samband med interoperabilitetstester. För dessa är ett grafiskt gränssnitt inte något krav, men framförallt Effnets kunder skulle kunna öka inlärningskurvan något med hjälp av ett bättre gränssnitt. Den primära målgruppen är Effnets prospekt i samband med till exempel *Gnome meeting*. Alltså kan vi förutsätta mycket begränsad kunskap om Header Compression för kunden som ska testa systemet och tolka informationen som presenteras. Samtidigt är det önskvärt med ett flexibelt gränssnitt där även avancerade parametrar kan skickas till HC-Sim.

**Plattform**

Eftersom all utveckling sker i FreeBSD/Linux (i386) och ANSI C på Effnet har vissa delar av HC-Sim implementerats med den plattformen som ett krav. Samtidigt ska alla formellt stödda funktioner i HC-Sim fungera på Solaris (SPARC) och Windows 2000 och XP (i386). Detta ställer hårda krav på valet av grafikbibliotek.

**Prestanda**

En viktig del av den interaktiva demoplattformen är fördröjningar på länken. Ett demo över Ethernet får inte introducera några märkbara fördröjningar för användaren av HC-Sim + gränssnitt. Detta kan utesluta vissa ”tunga” grafikbibliotek.

**Övriga krav och önskemål**

Det är önskvärt att funktionaliteten och utvecklingsmöjligheterna i det nuvarande programmet inte hämmas av införandet av gränssnittet. Integrationsnivån mellan HC-Sim och dess gränssnitt bör vara sådan att kopplingen mellan program och gränssnitt är flexibel. Exempelvis bör kompilering utan grafisk gränssnitt i exekveringsmiljö med

Avgränsning

Vid tidsbrist kan projektets del med implementering av ”two machine mode” för HC-Sim uteslutas. Om implementering ej sker ska dock problemställningen och lösningsförslag dokumenteras. Om projektet blir för kort läggs tiden på att iterera ett varv till med införande av nya funktioner och finesser i gränssnittet samt på mer utförlig dokumentation av de nya delarna.

Övrigt

Rapportskrivning och dokumentering av pågående arbete sker kontinuerligt under projektets gång.
Preliminär tidsplan

v. 36 – v.37  Inläsning på produkterna och HC-Sim samt förstudie på lämpligt portabelt grafik bibliotek. Även inläsning på biblioteket pcap som används av HC-Sim för ”packet sniffing” i nätverk.

v. 38  Studie av befintlig ROHC-Demo, HC-Sim och dess källkod samt designdokument för att få en klar bild av struktur, procedurer, informationshantering och integreringsmöjligheter. Denna information behövs för att göra en designspecifikation för gränssnittet.

v. 39  Framtagning av första designspecification med interna gränssnitt och val av information för presentation i det grafiska användargränssnittet för användning med HC-Sim i ”single process mode”. Rapportskriven. Påbörja implementering.

v. 40 – v.47  Implementering av demoplattformen i enlighet med designspecification:

v. 40  Enkelt front-end med låg integreringsnivå klar.

v. 43  Första demo av mer integrerad modell klar. Viss information ska kunna visas i gränssnittet. Dokumentering av utförda och vidare behövda förändringar i HC-Sim.

v. 44  Test och utvärdering av första demo och dess designmodell. Rapportskriven och vidareutveckling till en andra demo, i vilken all relevant information ska kunna visas, till viss del grafiskt.

v. 45  Implementering, testning, utvärdering av andra demo.

v. 46  Andra demo klar. Noggrann sammanställning av dokumentation av gränssnittet och av relevant information för vidareutveckling.

v. 49  Rapportskriven. Förstudie för vidareutveckling av HC-Sim i ”two machine mode”. Detta innefattar flera svårigheter, varför avvägningar och jämförelser mellan olika lösningar måste göras.

v. 50 – v. 3  Implementering av vald lösning för ”two machine mode” i HC-Sim och dess gränssnitt:

v. 51  Implementering av vald lösning, utvärdering

v.52 – 53  Till viss del ledig över jul och nyår. Rapportskriven. Fortsatt implementering av vald lösning för ”two machine mode”.

v.3 Demo av HC-Sim i ”two machine mode” klar.

v. 4  Test och utvärdering av HC-Sim i ”two machine mode” och demoplattform över olika länkar (WLAN, PPP, Ethernet).

v. 5 – v. 7  Rapportskriven
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### Appendix B - hcsim_callback_interface.h

```c
/**
 * @file    hcsim_callback_interface.h
 * @version 1.1.4 Copyright (c) 1998-2003 Effnet AB
 * @brief   Defines functions to be implemented by external
 *          HC-Sim user interface
 *          This header file is included by HC-Sim and by the external
 *          HC-Sim interface.
 *          It should only be included if USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS is defined.
 *          The functions declared herein should be defined in the
 *          external HC-Sim interface implementation source files.
 * @author Niklas Vargensten, wolfboy@kth.se
 * @note   Should the need to add more event masks become an issue,
 *         then hcsim_callback_event_t can be changed to u64_t
 */

#ifndef _HCSIM_CALLBACK_INTERFACE_H_
#define _HCSIM_CALLBACK_INTERFACE_H_

#if !defined USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS
#error "hcsim_callback_interface.h included but USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS is not
 defined!"
#endif /* USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS */

#include "efftypes.h"
#include "hcsim_time.h"

typedef u32_t                hcsim_callback_event_t;
typedef const void           hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t;
typedef const void           hcsim_callback_parameter_t;
typedef const hcsim_time_t   hcsim_callback_time_t;
typedef u32_t                hcsim_callback_return_t;

/** <Compression scheme for events> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_MASK                        0xE0000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_NONE                        0
/* Definitions of compression schemes */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_ROHC                        0x80000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_IPHC                        0x40000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_CRTP                        0x20000000
/* </Definitions of compression schemes> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_COUNT 3
/* </Compression scheme for events> */

/** <Side of events> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_MASK                          0x18000000
/* Definitions of event sides */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES                       0x10000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA                         0x08000000
/* </Definitions of event sides> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_COUNT 2
/* </Side of events> */

/** <Class of events> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_MASK                         0x07C00000
/* Utility class, never results in a callback */

```

---

1
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_NONE 0

/* <Definitions of event classes> */
/* Compressor class events */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_HC 0x04000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_DC 0x02000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_SINK 0x01000000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_CHANNEL 0x00800000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_GENERATOR 0x00400000
/* </Definitions of event classes> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_COUNT 5
/* </Class of events> */

/** <Type of events> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_MASK 0x002FFFFF
#define HCSIM_COMPRESSION_INDEPENDENT_EVENT_TYPE_MASK 0x00000FFF
#define HCSIM_COMPRESSION_DEPENDENT_EVENT_TYPE_MASK 0x002FF000
/* Utility type, never results in a callback */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_NONE 0
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_MASK 0x0000007C
/* <Definitions of event types> */
/* <Compression scheme independent event types> */
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_COMPRESSED 0x00000001
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_DECOMPRESSED 0x00000002
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_SENT 0x00000004
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_RECEIVED 0x00000008
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_EXTERNAL_PACKET_RECEIVED 0x00000010
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_EXTERNAL_PACKET_SENT 0x00000020
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_DROPPED 0x00000040
/* Room for 5 more future compression independent events */
#define HCSIM_COMPRESSION_INDEPENDENT_EVENT_TYPE_COUNT 7
/* </Compression scheme independent event types> */
/* <Compression scheme dependent event types> */
#if defined USE_ROHC
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_ACK_SENT 0x00001000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_ACK_RECEIVED 0x00002000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_NACK_SENT 0x00004000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_NACK_RECEIVED 0x00008000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_STATIC_NACK_SENT 0x00010000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_STATIC_NACK_RECEIVED 0x00020000
/* Room for 6 more future ROHC events */
#endif
#elif defined USE_IPHC | defined USE_CRTP
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_REQUEST_SENT 0x00001000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_REQUEST_RECEIVED 0x00002000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_CONTEXT_STATE_SENT 0x00004000
#define HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_CONTEXT_STATE_RECEIVED 0x00008000
/* Room for 8 more future IPHC or CRTP events */
#endif
/* </Compression scheme dependent event types> */
/* </Definitions of types of events> */
/* </Type of events> */
The callback mask controls which HC-Sim events result in an actual callback function call to the external interface. It is up to the external interface implementation to set the value of the mask. If not set, then no callbacks are made.

Sets callback event mask.

@param mask The event mask to use for Charles events

void set_hcsim_callback_event_mask_charles(hcsim_callback_event_t mask);

Acquires currently set callback event mask.

@return The event mask used for Charles events

hcsim_callback_event_t get_hcsim_callback_event_mask_charles(void);

Sets callback event mask.

@param mask The event mask to use for Diana events

void set_hcsim_callback_event_mask_diana(hcsim_callback_event_t mask);

Acquires currently set callback event mask.

@return The event mask used for Diana events

hcsim_callback_event_t get_hcsim_callback_event_mask_diana(void);

This macro precedes each declaration of an externally defined function in this file. It is only used within this header file to separate HC-Sim defined functions from externally defined functions.

#define __EXTERNALLY_IMPLEMENTED__ extern

Called by HC-Sim to check whether simulation should currently be running. This evaluates to 0 if user stops it through interaction with the external user interface.

@param unknown_data_p currently not used

@return 1 if simulation is to keep on running, 0 if it should stop

__EXTERNALLY_IMPLEMENTED__ hcsim_callback_return_t hcsim_callback_poll_keep_running(hcsim_callback_parameter_t* \ unknown_data_p);
/**
 * Called by HC-Sim when a simulation has started.
 * @param time_p Simulation time when simulation started
 */
__EXTERNALLY_IMPLEMENTED__ void
hcsim_callback_report_started(hcsim_callback_time_t* time_p);

/**
 * Called by HC-Sim when a simulation has finished.
 * @param time_p Simulation time when simulation stopped
 */
__EXTERNALLY_IMPLEMENTED__ void
hcsim_callback_report_finished(hcsim_callback_time_t* time_p);

/**
 * Called when a simulation event of statistical relevance has taken place.
 * @param event_code A bitwise OR () of the code parts
 * HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_X|HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_X|
 * HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X|HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X.
 * @param data_p Packet or anything else related to the event.
 * May be NULL.
 */
__EXTERNALLY_IMPLEMENTED__ void
hcsim_callback_event(hcsim_callback_event_t event_code,
hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t* data_p);

/* </Functions implemented externally> */

/***************************************************************************
 * */

}#endif /* _HCSIM_CALLBACK_INTERFACE_H_ */
[Appendix C] - hcsim_callback.h

/**
 * @file    hcsim_callback.h
 * @version 1.1.4 Copyright (c) 1998-2003 Effnet AB
 * @brief   Defines callback macros used by HC-Sim to support interaction
 *          with an external user interface implementation.
 *          If HC-Sim callbacks are not used, then the declarations in this
 *          header file do not affect HC-Sim.
 *          This header file is included by HC-Sim and is not used by the external
 *          HC-Sim interface implementation, which only uses
 *          "hcsim_callback_interface.h".
 * ******************************************************
 *       <h2>How to use callback functions in HC-Sim</h2>
 * ******************************************************
 * This header declares callback function macros
 * used by HC-Sim when compiled with an external
 * user/control interface. The actual functions are
 * declared in hcsim_callback_interface and are
 * defined in the external interface implementation.
 * Within the HC-Sim code, the callback functions
 * are never used directly. Instead, the macros defined
 * in this file provide represent the link to
 * the external interface functions. The reason for this
 * is that the callback code is not to be compiled when
 * making HC-Sim without an external user/control interface.
 * ******************************************************
 *       <h3>HC-Sim callback polls</h3>
 * ******************************************************
 * HC-Sim can poll the external interface
 * implementation to check if some condition
 * has changed, for instance that the simulation
 * has been aborted by the user.
 * Callbacks of this type have macro
 * names such as HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_X, where
 * X is the thing to poll for.
 * ******************************************************
 *       <h3>HC-Sim callback reports</h3>
 * ******************************************************
 * When some important meta event has occurred, such
 * as for instance when the simulation has finished,
 * HC-Sim can report this to the external
 * interface implementation by using a callback
 * function with a macro name such as
 * HCSIM_CALLBACK_REPORT_X, where X is the
 * happening to be reported.
 * ******************************************************
 *       <h3>HC-Sim event callback</h3>
 * ******************************************************
 * To make an event callback to report to the external
 * interface implementation that some simulation
 * related event has occurred, a macro function is
 * called with (at least) these paremeters:
 * 1) The side (charles or diana) of the event, indicated
 * by using the parameter HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_X, where
 * X is either CHARLES or DIANA.
 * 2) The class of the event, describing the logical place
3) The type of event which has occurred, such as
   for instance that a packet was sent, a header
   was decompressed or a packet was dropped.

******************************************************
*          <h4>HC-Sim event callback event code</h4>      *
******************************************************
*    2) and 3) together with the compression scheme and side
*    form an event code.
*    For a callback call to be made, the event code must
*    satisfy the following conditions:
*
*    a) The event code must consist of an event class code
*       HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X and an event type code
*       HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X, which must be valid codes
*       as defined in hcsim_callback_interface.h. None of these may be zero.
*       The order of the different parts does not actually
*       matter, since we OR them in the end, but for consistency
*       follow the usage instructions defined in the macros.
*
*    b) All parts of the event code must be individually
*       accepted by the hcsim callback event mask,
*       which is set by the external interface implementation.
*
* @author Niklas Vargensten, wolfboy@kth.se
* @see    hcsim_callback_interface.h
*/
#endif

#if defined _HCSIM_CALLBACK_H_
#define _HCSIM_CALLBACK_H_

#if defined USE_HCSIM CALLBACKS

/* Include defines functions, parameters and event codes for callbacks */
#include "hcsim_callback_interface.h"

/* Examples of usage:
   * HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT3(HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_DC,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_HEADER_DECOMPRESSED);
   *
   * HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_CHANNEL,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_RECEIVED,
   *     packet);
   *
   * or how about these:
   * CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_6(myIsSideDianaCondition,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA, HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_CHANNEL,HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_RECEIVED,packet);
   *
   * CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_5(side == charles_side,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES, HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA,
   *     HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_CHANNEL,HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_PACKET_DROPPED);
   */

/*
   * If HC-Sim were to be redesigned to support more than
   * one compression scheme, this value would be given
   * as a parameter to the event callback macros instead.
   */
#endif
# define EV_SCHEME HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_IPHC
#elif defined USE_CRTP
# define EV_SCHEME HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_CRTP
#elif defined USE_ROHC
# define EV_SCHEME HCSIM_EVENT_SCHEME_ROHC
#endif

/* <Definitions used within this header file> */
/**
* Acquires a callback code for a given code value. If the
* given value is not accepted by the callback mask, 0
* is returned.
*
* @param value An OR of the different parts of a callback code
* @return An event code, verified against callback mask.
* @note Defined in file hcsim_callback.c
*/

hcsim_callback_event_t
get_hcsim_callback_event_code(hcsim_callback_event_t value);

/* </Definitions used within this header file> */

/**********************************************************
*  <Macro definitions of function calls used by HC-Sim>  *
**********************************************************/
/**
* Invokes callback function for some event with the given
* event class, event type, and the given data, if the callback
* event mask allows it.
* If the side depends in some condition, never use conditional
* expressions as a macro parameter. Instead, use the
* CONSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT macros.
*
* @param ev_side Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES
* @param ev_class The class of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X)
* @param ev_type The type of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X)
* @param data (of type hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)
* @return void
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,data)
  {hcsim_callback_event_t code =
   get_hcsim_callback_event_code(EV_SCHEME|ev_side|ev_class|ev_type);
   if(code)
     hcsim_callback_event(code,(hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)data);}

#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT3(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type)
  HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,NULL)

/**
* Invokes callback function for some event with the given
* event class, event type, and the given data,
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,data)
  {hcsim_callback_event_t code =
   get_hcsim_callback_event_code(EV_SCHEME|ev_side|ev_class|ev_type);
   if(code)
     hcsim_callback_event(code,(hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)data);}

#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT3(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type)
  HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,NULL)

/**
* Invokes callback function for some event with the given
* event class, event type, and the given data,
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,data)
  {hcsim_callback_event_t code =
   get_hcsim_callback_event_code(EV_SCHEME|ev_side|ev_class|ev_type);
   if(code)
     hcsim_callback_event(code,(hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)data);}

#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT3(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type)
  HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,NULL)
* if the callback event mask allows it.
* 
* @param cond       Condition which decides which side for which
* to (maybe) make callback
* @param side_if    Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES
* or HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA.
* The side to make the callback for if cond is true (1)
* @param side_else  Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES
* or HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA.
* The side to make the callback for if cond is false (0)
* @param ev_class   The class of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X)
* @param ev_type    The type of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X)
* @param data       The event data
* (of type hcsim_callback_event_parameter_t*)
* @return void
*
#define CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT6(cond, side_if, side_else, 
   ev_class, ev_type, data) \
   if((cond)) {\n      HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(side_if,ev_class,ev_type,data); \n   } else {\n      HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(side_else,ev_class,ev_type,data); \n   }
/**
 * Invokes callback function for some event with the given
 * event class, event code, and no data, if the callback
 * event mask allows it.
 *
 * @param cond       Condition which decides which side for which
 * to (maybe) make callback
 * @param side_if    Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES
 * or HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA.
 * The side to make the callback for if cond is true (1)
 * @param side_else  Either HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_CHARLES
 * or HCSIM_EVENT_SIDE_DIANA.
 * The side to make the callback for if cond is false (0)
 * @param ev_class   The class of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_CLASS_X)
 * @param ev_type    The type of the event (HCSIM_EVENT_TYPE_X)
 * @return void
 */
#define CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT5(cond, side_if, side_else, 
   ev_class, ev_type) \
   CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT6(cond, side_if, side_else, 
   ev_class, ev_type, NULL)
/**
 * Invokes callback function when simulation starts
 * @param time   hcsim_callback_time_t* = Time when simulation started.
 * May be set to NULL.
 * @return void
 */
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_REPORT_STARTED1(time) \ 
hcsim_callback_report_started(time)
/**
 * Invokes callback function when simulation finishes
 * @param time   hcsim_callback_time_t* = Time when simulation finished.
 * May be set to NULL.
 * @return void
 */
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_REPORT_FINISHED1(time) \ 
hcsim_callback_report_finished(time)
/**
 * Invokes callback function to poll external interface
 * whether the simulation should keep on running.
 */
@param undefined_data    hcsim_callback_parameter_t *
* = Feedback to external interface. Usually set to NULL.
@return hcsim_callback_return_t = 1 if simulation is to keep on running,
* 0 if it should stop
@see    HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED1
/**
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING1(undefined_data)
hcsim_callback_poll_keep_running(undefined_data)
/**
* Invokes callback function to poll external interface
* whether the simulation should keep on running.
* Examples of usage:
* HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING_IF_ELSE_CODE3(NULL, ,return 0);
* // (if keep on running, do nothing, else, return 0)
* HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING_IF_ELSE_CODE3(NULL,printf("Hello!");)
* // (if keep on running, print hello, else, nothing)
* HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING_IF_ELSE_CODE3(NULL,return 1,return 0);
* // (return 1 if keep on running, 0 if not)
* 
* @param undefined_data    hcsim_callback_parameter_t *
* = Feedback to external interface. Usually set to NULL.
* @param if_code           code to execute if the simulation is to
* keep on running (may be blank)
* @param else_code         code to execute if the simulation is not
* to keep on running (may be blank)
* @return void
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING_IF_ELSE_CODE3(undefined_data,
    if_code,else_code) \
    if(HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING1(undefined_data)) {
        if_code;
    } else {
        else_code;
    }
/**
* Invokes callback function to poll external interface
* whether the simulation has been stopped.
* 
* @param undefined_data    hcsim_callback_parameter_t *
* = Feedback to external interface. Usually set to NULL.
* @return hcsim_callback_return_t = 1 if simulation is to
* keep on running, 0 if it should stop
* @see HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING1
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED1(undefined_data)
!hcsim_callback_poll_keep_running(undefined_data)
/**
* Invokes callback function to poll external interface
* whether the simulation has been stopped, and then executes
* a statement if the simulation has stopped.
* Example of usage:
* HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED_IF_CODE2(NULL,return 0);
* // (if stopped, return 0)
* 
* @param undefined_data    hcsim_callback_parameter_t *
* = Feedback to external interface. Usually set to NULL.
* @param if_code           code to execute if the simulation has stopped
* @return void
*/
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED_IF_CODE2(undefined_data,if_code) \
    if(HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED1(undefined_data)) {
        if_code;
    }
Utility macro for using a piece of code only if callbacks are used, i.e. if USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS is defined.

#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_CODE1(code) code

Utility macro for executing if_code if the given condition is true.

#define COND_IF_HCSIM_CALLBACK_CODE2(condition,if_code) if(condition) { 
  if_code;
} else {
}

Utility macro for executing if_code if the given condition is true, else_code if not.

#define COND_IF_ELSE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_CODE3(condition,if_code,else_code) if(condition) { 
  if_code;
} else { 
  else_code;
}

Utility macro for executing if_code if the given condition is true, else_code if not.

#else /* No USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS */

#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT3(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT4(ev_side,ev_class,ev_type,data)
#define CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT5(cond, side_if, side_else, 
  ev_class, ev_type)
#define CONDSIDE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_EVENT6(cond, side_if, side_else, 
  ev_class, ev_type, data)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_REPORT_STARTED1(time)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_REPORT_FINISHED1(time)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING1(undefined_data) 1
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_KEEP_RUNNING_IF_ELSE_CODE3(undefined_data,
  if_code,else_code)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED1(undefined_data) 0
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_POLL_STOPPED_IF_CODE2(undefined_data,if_code)
#define HCSIM_CALLBACK_CODE1(code)
#define COND_IF_ELSE_HCSIM_CALLBACK_CODE3(condition,if_code,else_code)
#endif /* USE_HCSIM_CALLBACKS */
#endif /* _HCSIM_CALLBACK_H_ */